

## Lec 12: Hopf algebras, filtrations & gradings, III

- 1) Proof of PBW Thm & related claims
- 2) Comodules.

### 1) Proof of PBW Thm & related claims

#### 1.1) Proof of PBW in char 0

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field,  $G$  an algebraic group/ $\mathbb{F}$  &  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . Let  $\pi: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow_{\text{gr}} U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the natural graded algebra homomorphism (Sec 1.2 of Lec 11). In Sec 1.4 of Lec 11 we've seen that  $\pi$  is a coalgebra homomorphism. Our main result in this section is:

**Prop.:** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a (finite dimensional) Lie algebra &  $\pi: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow A$  be a homomorphism of graded coalgebras s.t.  $(\ker \pi)_{\leq 1} = \{0\}$ .

1) If  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ , then  $\pi$  is injective.

2) If  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0$ , then  $(\ker \pi)_{\leq p-1} = \{0\}$ .

Proof:

Assume the contrary & pick  $f \in (\ker \pi)_m \setminus \{0\}$  w. minimal  $m$ .  
By the minimality assumption:  $\pi: S(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq m-1} \hookrightarrow A_{\leq m-1}$  & so  $\pi \otimes \pi: (S(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq m-1})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow (A_{\leq m-1})^{\otimes 2}$ . Note that  $(\pi \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta(f) = \Delta(\pi(f)) = 0$ . Also  $\Delta(f) \in (S(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2})_m = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S(\mathfrak{g})_i \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})_{m-i}$ . Write  $\Delta(f) = \sum_{i=0}^m \Delta(f)_i$  w.  $\Delta(f)_i \in S(\mathfrak{g})_i \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})_{m-i}$ . Since  $\pi \otimes \pi: S(\mathfrak{g})_i \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})_{m-i} \hookrightarrow A_i \otimes A_{m-i} \nrightarrow 0$   $0 < i < m \Rightarrow \Delta(f)_i = 0$ . Now choose a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Claim:  $\forall m > 1, F \in S(\mathfrak{g})_m, \Delta(F)_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \partial_i F$

Proof of Claim: enough to consider  $F = x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n} \Rightarrow \Delta(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i)^{d_i}$   
 By the binomial formula,  $(x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i)^{d_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i} \binom{d_i}{j} x_i^j \otimes x_i^{d_i-j}$ . Then  
 the term of the form  $x_i \otimes ?$  is  $x_i \otimes d_i x_1^{d_1} \dots x_i^{d_i-1} \dots x_n^{d_n} = x_i \otimes \partial_i F \quad \square$

We conclude that  $\partial_i f = 0 \forall i$ . Recall  $m > 0$ . If  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ , then  $f = 0$ . And if  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p$ ,  $\partial_i f = 0 \forall i \Rightarrow f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p] \Rightarrow \deg f \geq p. \quad \square$

We now provide sufficient conditions for  $(\ker \pi)_{\leq 1} = \{0\}$ .

Lemma: Let  $G \subset GL_n$  be an algebraic subgroup

- 1) If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, a \in \mathbb{F}$  are s.t.  $\varphi(x) = a \cdot \text{id}_V \neq \text{rational representation}$   
 $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  ( $\& \varphi = T_e \varphi$ ), then  $x = 0 \& a = 0$ .
- 2) For  $\pi: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), (\ker \pi)_{\leq 1} = \{0\}$ .

Proof: 1) Since  $G \subset GL_n$  we have  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$  &  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is a rational  $G$ -rep. Take  $V = \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathbb{F}_{\text{triv}}$  ("triv" for trivial). Since  $x$  acts by 0 on  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{triv}} \Rightarrow a = 0$ . And since  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n, x = 0$ .

2):  $(\ker \pi)_0 = \{0\}$  b/c  $1 \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g} = S(\mathfrak{g})_1$  be s.t.  $x \in \ker \pi \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq 0} = \mathbb{F}1$  s.t.  $x - a$  is 0 in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then  $x - a$  acts by 0 on any  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module =  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep contradicting 1).  $\square$

Corollary: Assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is as in Lemma

1) If  $\text{char } F = 0$ , then  $\pi: S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g})$ , so PBW Thm holds.

2) If  $\text{char } F = p-1$ , then  $\pi: S(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p-1}$ .

Proof: combine 2) of Lemma w. Proposition.

## 1.2) Additivity of $x \mapsto x^p - x^{[p]}$

Corollary: Assume  $\text{char } F = p$  & let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be as in Lemma. Then

$$(x+y)^p - (x+y)^{[p]} = x^p - x^{[p]} + y^p - y^{[p]} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof:

Let  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the difference of l.h.s & r.h.s. WTS  $z=0$ . Notation: for  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq i}$  we write  $\bar{u}$  for its class in  $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq i} / U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq i-1}$ . E.g.,  $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq 1} / U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq 0} = [\mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$  &  $\bar{\xi} = \xi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Step 1: We claim  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p-1}$ . Note that  $\xi^p \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p}$ ,  $\xi^{[p]} \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq 1} \Rightarrow z \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p}$ . But  $z + U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p-1} = (\bar{x} + \bar{y})^p - \bar{x}^p - \bar{y}^p = [\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ is commutative as quotient of } S(\mathfrak{g})] = 0 \Rightarrow z \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq p-1}$ . Choose min'l  $k$  w.  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq k} \Rightarrow k \leq p-1$ .

Step 2: We claim that  $\Delta(z) = z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z$ . Indeed,  $\Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi$ ,  $\Delta(\xi^p) = (\xi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi)^p = [\xi \otimes 1 \text{ \& } 1 \otimes \xi \text{ commute}] = \xi^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi^p \Rightarrow \Delta(z) = z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z$ . Now we'll use claims before the lemma to finish the proof.

Step 3: Consider  $\bar{z} \in (\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}))_k = S(\mathfrak{g})_k$ . Let  $\Delta_S: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})$  be the coproduct. Then  $\Delta(z) + (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2})_{\leq k} = \Delta_S(\bar{z}) \Rightarrow \Delta_S(\bar{z}) = \bar{z} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{z}$

By claim in the proof of Proposition, if  $k > 1$ , then all partials of  $\bar{z}$

vanish & since  $k < p \Rightarrow \bar{z} = 0$ . Hence  $z \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq k-1}$ , we arrive at a contradiction w. choice of  $k$ . So  $k \leq 1 \Rightarrow z \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq 1}$ .

Step 4: Let  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a rational  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation &  $\varphi = T_e \rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . By Thm in Sec 2 of Lec 2,  $\varphi(\xi)^p = \varphi(\xi^{[p]}) \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . So  $z$  acts by 0 on  $V$ . By 1) of Lemma in Sec 1.1,  $z = 0$ .  $\square$

### 1.3) PBW theorem in char $p$

Here we sketch a proof of the following (based on base change). Details are left as an *extended exercise*.

**Proposition:** Suppose  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ . Then PBW Thm holds for  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Sketch of proof:

1) If  $S(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \hookrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ , then  $S(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . This reduces the proof to the case of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .

2) Set  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \forall$  fields  $\mathbb{F}$ . Consider the ring  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z}))$  defined as in the case of fields. Use universal properties of  $\mathcal{U}_r(?)$  to establish isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})).$$

3) Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be a basis of the free abelian group  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z})) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_1^{d_1} \dots x_m^{d_m} \mid d_i \geq 0)$ .

4) Use (1): with  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  to show  $x_1^{d_1} \dots x_m^{d_m} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z}))$  are linearly independent & for arbitrary  $\mathbb{F}$  to finish the proof.  $\square$

## 2) Comodules

### 2.1) Definition

In the tensor product language a module over an algebra  $(A, \mu, \varepsilon)$  can be defined as a vector space  $M$  together w. linear map  $\mu_M: A \otimes M \rightarrow M$  making the following diagrams commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \text{id}_M} & A \otimes M \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \mu_M & & \downarrow \mu_M \\
 A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu_M} & M
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F \otimes M & \xrightarrow{=} & M \\
 \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_M \searrow & & \nearrow \mu_M \\
 & A \otimes M &
 \end{array}$$

Reversing the arrows we get the following:

**Definition:** Let  $(A, \Delta, \eta)$  be a coalgebra. By a (right) comodule over  $A$  is a vector space  $M$  w. a linear map  $M \xrightarrow{\Delta_M} M \otimes A$  making the following diagrams commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes A \otimes A & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta} & M \otimes A \\
 \uparrow \Delta_M \otimes \text{id}_A & & \uparrow \Delta_M \\
 M \otimes A & \xleftarrow{\Delta_M} & M
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes F & \xleftarrow{=} & M \\
 \text{id}_M \otimes \eta \searrow & & \nearrow \Delta_M \\
 & M \otimes A &
 \end{array}$$

**Example:**  $A$  w.  $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  is a comodule over itself (regular comodule).

### 2.2) Comodules vs rational representations

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group w. product  $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$  & unit  $e$  that we view as a map  $\text{pt} \rightarrow G$ . As we've seen in Sec 1.2 of Lec 10,  $\mathbb{F}[G]$  is a Hopf algebra w.  $\Delta = m^*$  &  $\eta = e^*$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . To equip  $V$  w. a  $\mathbb{F}[G]$ -comodule structure is the same as to equip it w. a structure of a rational  $G$ -representation. In particular,

(a)  $\text{Hom}_G(V^1, V^2) = \{ \mathbb{F}[G]\text{-comodule homomorphisms } V^1 \rightarrow V^2 \}$

(b)  $V' \subset V$  is  $G$ -stable  $\Leftrightarrow V' \subset V$  is a sub-comodule.

**Proof:**

First we claim that the following data are equivalent:

(i) A morphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$

(ii) a linear map  $\Delta_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{F}[G]$

(iii) a bilinear map  $(\alpha, v) \mapsto c_{\alpha, v}: V^* \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[G]$

(i)  $\xrightarrow{\sim}$  (iii):  $\rho \mapsto c_{\alpha, v}(g) = \langle \alpha, \rho(g)v \rangle$  (matrix coefficient)

(ii)  $\xrightarrow{\sim}$  (iii):  $\Delta_V \mapsto c_{\alpha, v} = \langle \alpha \otimes \text{id}, \Delta_V(v) \rangle$  ( $\alpha \otimes \text{id}: V \otimes \mathbb{F}[G] \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{F} = V$ ).

Now we claim that  $\rho$  is a representation  $\Leftrightarrow \Delta_V$  is a comodule structure. Indeed, let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  be basis &  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in V^*$  be dual basis.

Write  $c_{ij} := c_{\alpha_i, v_j}$ . Then  $\rho$  is a representation  $\Leftrightarrow$

(\*)  $c_{ij}(gh) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ik}(g)c_{kj}(h) \quad \forall g, h \in G \quad \& \quad c_{ij}(e) = \delta_{ij}$ .

$\Delta_V$  is a comodule structure  $\Leftrightarrow$  coassociativity & counit axioms hold. Coassociativity says  $(\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_M(v_j) = (\Delta_M \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \Delta_M(v_j) \in V \otimes \mathbb{F}[G]^{\otimes 2} \quad \forall j$ . Note that  $\Delta_M(v_j) = \sum_i v_i \otimes c_{ij}$ . So we arrive at:

l.h.s. =  $\sum_i v_i \otimes m^*(c_{ij})$ , r.h.s. =  $\sum_k \Delta_M(v_k) \otimes c_{kj} = \sum_{k,i} v_i \otimes c_{ik} \otimes c_{kj}$ . So

l.h.s. = r.h.s.  $\Leftrightarrow m^*(c_{ij}) = c_{ik} \otimes c_{kj} \Leftrightarrow c_{ij}(gh) = [m^*(c_{ij})](g, h) =$

$\sum_{k=1}^n c_{ik}(g)c_{kj}(h)$ , which is the 1st part of (\*). The 2nd part is similar

but easier.

To prove (a) take  $\tau \in \text{Hom}(V^1, V^2)$  & write it as a matrix  $(t_{ij})$ .

Then  $\tau$  is  $G$ -linear  $\Leftrightarrow \tau \circ \rho^1(g) = \rho^2(g) \circ \tau \forall g \Leftrightarrow$

$$(**) \quad \sum_j t_{ij} c_{jk}^1 = \sum_j c_{ij}^2 t_{jk}$$

And  $\tau$  is a comodule homomorphism  $\Leftrightarrow (\tau \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_{V_1} = \Delta_{V_2} \circ \tau \Leftrightarrow$

[exercise: write in bases] (\*\*).

And (b) is left as an exercise (both conditions are expressed as vanishing of the matrix coefficients).  $\square$