

Representations of SL_2 & sl_2 , V

0) Recap

1) Weight decomposition

2) $M(n)$ as an induced representation.

0) Recap

Below \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic > 2 & $G = SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. In Sec 2 of Lec 0 we started to study rational G -reps

a) We introduced the G -rep'n $M(n) = \text{Span}(x^n, x^{n-1}y, \dots, y^n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and saw that it's irreducible for $n < p$.

b) For a representation $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ we considered its Frobenius twist $\varphi^{(1)} := \text{Fr} \circ \varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, where $\text{Fr}: GL(V) \rightarrow GL(V)$ depends on a choice of basis, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$. Note that $\varphi^{(1)}$ can be described in terms of its matrix coefficients $c_{\alpha, v}^{(1)}(g) = \langle \alpha, \varphi^{(1)}(g)v \rangle$: if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in V^*$ is the dual basis, then

$$(1) \quad c_{\alpha_i, v_j}^{(1)} = c_{\alpha_i, v_j}^P, \text{ where } c_{\alpha, v} \text{ is matrix coeff of } \varphi.$$

c) $V^{(1)}$ is irrep. iff V is. More generally, if V is irrep, then $M(i) \otimes V^{(1)}$ is irrep. $\forall i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ (proved in the notes)

d) Note that $(U \otimes V)^{(1)} \simeq U^{(1)} \otimes V^{(1)}$ \forall rational reps U, V of G .

This follows e.g. from looking at the matrix coefficients: we use (1)

$$\& \quad c_{\beta \otimes \alpha, u \otimes v} = c_{\beta, u} c_{\alpha, v} \text{ (important exercise in Sec 2.2 of Lec 1)}$$

11

e) Now we can use c) & d) to define, for $n \geq 0$, the irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}(n)$ as follows: we write $n = n_0 + pn_1 + \dots + p^k n_k$ ($n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$) & set $\mathcal{L}(n) = M(n_0) \otimes M(n_1)^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes M(n_k)^{(k)}$ (w. $\bullet^{(k)} = (\bullet^{(k-1)})^{(1)}$).

The main result for this lecture is:

Thm: $n \mapsto \mathcal{L}(n)$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ & {rational G -irreps}.

1) Weight decomposition

An approach one uses to prove the theorem is related to what we used to classify fin. dim. irreps of \mathfrak{sl}_2 in char 0 and can also be called "highest weight theory."

1.1) Construction

Consider the subgroup $T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset G$ that is identified w. \mathbb{G}_m via $t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma: 1) \forall rational representation $\rho: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(V)$ is completely reducible, the irreducibles are 1-dimensional,

2) and are given by $t \mapsto t^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Proof: Set $S := \{z \in \mathbb{G}_m \mid \exists \ell \text{ coprime to } p \text{ s.t. } z^\ell = 1\}$. Note that $\rho(S) \subset GL(V)$ consists of pairwise commuting linear operators. They are simultaneously diagonalizable by the following

Exercise: 1) $\forall s \in GL(V)$ of order ℓ w. $\gcd(\ell, p) = 1$ is diagonalizable.

2) Any family of pairwise commuting diagonalizable operators on a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space is diagonalizable simultaneously (hint: use that $AB=BA \Rightarrow B V_\lambda(A) \subset V_\lambda(A)$ & induct on $\dim V$).

Now let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ be a basis diagonalizing $\mathcal{P}(S)$ & $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in V^*$ be the dual basis. Note that $i \neq j \Rightarrow c_{\alpha_i, v_j} |_S = 0 \Rightarrow [S] = \infty \Rightarrow c_{\alpha_i, v_j} = 0$.
 This proves 1). $\in \mathbb{F}[t^{\pm 1}]$

To prove 2) note that a rational G_m -rep'n of $\dim=1$ is exactly a Laurent polynomial, say f , s.t. $f(st) = f(s)f(t)$. Any such is $t \mapsto t^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$), exercise. □

Definition: Let V be a rational representation of G . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the i-weight space $V_i = \{v \in V : \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-i} \end{pmatrix} v = t^i v\}$.

By Lemma, $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$. Moreover $\forall \varphi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, U)$ we have
 (2) $\varphi(V_i) \subset U_i$

Example: $M(n)_{n-2i} = \mathbb{F}x^{n-i}y^i$ ($i=0, \dots, n$). The other weight spaces are 0.

Exercise: 1) if V, W are rational representations of G , then

$$(V \otimes W)_i = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j \otimes W_{i-j}$$

2) If $\text{Fr}: GL(V) \rightarrow GL(V)$ is defined using eigenbasis for $\mathcal{P}(T)$ then $V_i = [V^{(n)}]_{p_i} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (hint: $\text{Fr}(A) = A^p \forall$ diagonal $A \in \text{Mat}_n$)

3) The maximal (w.r.t. usual order on \mathbb{Z}) weight of $L(n)$ is n .

The following theorem implies Thm in Sec 0.

Thm': Two irreducible rational representations of G with the same max. weight are isomorphic.

2) $M(\lambda)$ as an induced representation

2.1) Motivation

Our first step in proving Thm' is:

Lemma: If V is an irrep. w. max. weight λ that admits a nonzero homomorphism to $M(\lambda)$, then $V \cong \text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(G \cdot x^\lambda) \subset M(\lambda)$, hence V is uniquely determined up to iso.

Proof:

Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow M(\lambda)$ be a nonzero homomorphism. Since V is irreducible, φ is injective. It remains to prove $\text{im } \varphi = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(G \cdot x^\lambda)$, which, thx to irreducibility of V amounts to $x^\lambda \in \text{im } \varphi$. But $V_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ & $M(\lambda)_\lambda = \mathbb{F}x^\lambda$.
By (2), $\varphi(V_\lambda) \subset M(\lambda)_\lambda$ & $\varphi|_{V_\lambda}$ is injective $\Rightarrow x^\lambda \in \varphi(V_\lambda)$ \square

So it remains to show that \forall irrep V w. highest weight $\lambda \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(V, M(\lambda)) \neq 0$. In order to see this we'll realize $M(\lambda)$ as an induced module.

2.2) Induced modules in algebraic context

Recall that if $H \subset G$ are finite groups & U is a representation of H , then the induced representation $\text{Ind}_H^G U$ is defined by

$\text{Ind}_H^G U := \{\text{maps } f: G \rightarrow U \mid f(hg) = hf(g), \forall h \in H, g \in G\}$

w. G -action given by $[gf](g') = f(g'g)$. We have Frobenius reciprocity:

$$(3) \quad \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_H(V, U)$$

Now let $H \subset G$ be algebraic groups, and U be a rational H -rep.

Definition: The (algebraic) induced representation is

$\text{Ind}_H^G U := \{\text{morphisms } f: G \rightarrow U \mid f(hg) = hf(g)\}$

$G \curvearrowright \text{Ind}_H^G U$ as above (f is morphism \Rightarrow so is gf , exercise).

Lemma: \forall rational V , (3) holds.

Sketch of proof: we'll sketch maps in both directions. An extended exercise to prove they are well-defined & mutually inverse.

• $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(V, U)$: consider $ev: \text{Ind}_H^G U \rightarrow U, f \mapsto f(e)$. The map $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(V, U)$ we need is $\varphi \mapsto ev \circ \varphi$

• $\text{Hom}_H(V, U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U)$; $\psi \in \text{Hom}_H(V, U) \rightsquigarrow G \times V \rightarrow U, (g, v) \mapsto \psi(gv) \rightsquigarrow \forall v \in V$ we have $\varphi_v: G \rightarrow U, \varphi_v(g) = \psi(gv)$. It's a morphism b/c $(g, v) \mapsto gv: G \times V \rightarrow V$ is & it's H -equivariant b/c ψ is. And $v \mapsto \varphi_v: V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G U$ is G -linear. \square

2.3) Realization of $M(n)$

Now we explain how to construct $M(n)$ as an induced representation. Consider the subgroup $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ u & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset G$. Let \mathbb{F}_n be its 1-dimensional representation where $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ acts by t^n .

Proposition: $M(n) \simeq \text{Ind}_B^G F_n$ as G -reps.

Proof: R.h.s. is $\{f \in F[G] \mid f\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ u & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) = t^n f(g)\}$

Step 1: We first examine f satisfying

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) = f(g), \quad \forall g \in G, u \in F \quad (4)$$

The algebra $F[a, b]$ admits a natural homomorphism to $F[G]$ (evaluate an element of $F[a, b]$ on the 1st row). It's an embedding b/c \forall nonzero first row, there's an element of SL_2 , so we view $F[a, b]$ as a subalgebra of $F[G]$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c+ua & d+ub \end{pmatrix}$, $\forall f \in F[a, b]$ satisfies (4). We claim that the converse is true.

Consider the open subset $G_a = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \neq 0\}$. Since a is not a 0 -divisor, the restriction map $F[G] \rightarrow F[G_a]$ is injective. The product map $\{\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)\} \rightarrow G_a, \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ ua & a^{-1}+ub \end{pmatrix}$ is iso of varieties $\sim F[G_a] \xrightarrow{\sim} F[u, a^{-1}, b]$. And under isomorphism w. $\{(a, u, b) \mid a \neq 0\}$, the action of $\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}\}$ on G_a becomes $(a, u, b) \mapsto (a, u+v, b)$. So (4) implies that $f|_{G_a}$ is independent of u hence lies in $F[a^{-1}, b]$.

Notice that the diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F[a, b] & \hookrightarrow & F[G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F[a^{-1}, b] & \hookrightarrow & F[G_a] \end{array}$$

is commutative. So we need to show that $F[a, b]$ coincides w. $F[a^{-1}, b] \cap F[G]$ in $F[G_a]$

Let $f' \in \mathbb{F}[a^{\pm 1}, b]$ correspond to $f|_{G_a}$. Assume $f' \notin \mathbb{F}[a, b]$ & take minimal $k > 0$ w. $\tilde{f}' = a^k f' \in \mathbb{F}[a, b] \Rightarrow \tilde{f}'(0, b) \neq 0$. Take $\tilde{f} = a^k f \in \mathbb{F}[G]$. Note that a vanishes on $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ -\beta^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ but $\exists \beta$ s.t. $\tilde{f}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ -\beta^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \tilde{f}'(0, \beta) \neq 0$, contradiction (w. $k > 0$).

Step 2: We have $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ta & tb \\ t^{-1}c & t^{-1}d \end{pmatrix}$. So a polynomial in a, b lies in $\text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{F}_n \Leftrightarrow$ it's in $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(a^n, a^{n-1}b, \dots, b^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} M(n)$:
 $a \mapsto x, b \mapsto y, G$ -linear (exercise). □