

Lazy approach to categories \mathcal{O} , IV

- 1) Quantum categories \mathcal{O}
- 2) Highest weight structure.
- 3) Deformation & subgeneric behaviour.
- 4) Whittaker coinvariants.

1) Quantum categories \mathcal{O}

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . We can consider the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})/\mathbb{C}(q)$ and the mixed (a.k.a. hybrid) $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ -lattice $U_q^{\text{mix}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by:

$$F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i^{(\ell)} = E_i^{(\ell)} / [\ell]_q! \quad (i \in I, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

indexing set for simple roots.

We can also consider De Concini-Kac lattice $U_q^{\text{DK}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (generated by $F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i$) & Lusztig lattice $U_q^L(\mathfrak{g})$ (generated by $F_i^{(\ell)}, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i^{(\ell)}$).

For $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times \leadsto U_\varepsilon^{\text{mix}}(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q^{\text{mix}}(\mathfrak{g}) / (q - \varepsilon)$, all these algebras are graded by the root lattice, Λ . Fix the G -invariant form $(; \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{h} w. $(\alpha^\vee, \alpha^\vee) = 2$ for all short coroots α . Set $n_\alpha := (\alpha^\vee, \alpha^\vee)/2$. Identify \mathfrak{h} w \mathfrak{h}^* using $(; \cdot)$, so that $\alpha^\vee = n_\alpha \alpha$.

1

Def: Pick $p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ w. $\varepsilon = \exp(\mathfrak{N}\sqrt{-1}/p)$ & $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Category $\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}$
 = full subcategory in Λ -graded fin. generated $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{mix}}$ -modules
 consisting of all $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$ s.t.

- $\dim M_\lambda < \infty \ \forall \lambda$.
- $\{\lambda \mid M_\lambda \neq 0\}$ is bounded from above.
- K_i acts on M_λ by $\exp(\mathfrak{N}\sqrt{-1}(\lambda + \nu, \alpha_i)/p)$.

The most interesting case is when $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \varepsilon = i\sqrt{1}$) & ν
 "has small denominator."

Rem: One can introduce Verma modules $\Delta_{p,\nu}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, in
 the same way as for the usual category \mathcal{O} . Their simple
 quotients, $L_{p,\nu}(\lambda)$ give a complete list of irreps in $\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}$.

If $p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ & $\nu = 0$ (we should be able to require just
 that $p \in \mathbb{Q}$) all $L_{p,\nu}(\lambda)$ are finite dimensional. In parti-
 cular the objects $\Delta_{p,\nu}(\lambda)$ have infinite length.

2) Highest weight structure.

In Sec 1 of Lec 1 we have introduced the notion of a

highest weight category with finite poset. The usual category \mathcal{O} doesn't quite fit this definition, but it splits as the direct sum of infinitesimal blocks that do. While $\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}$ splits as the direct sum of infinitesimal blocks, for interesting parameters (p, ν) , they are still infinite. So we need to generalize the definition of a highest weight category.

Def: Let \mathcal{T} be a poset. We say that \mathcal{T} is interval finite (resp. coideal finite) if $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow [\tau_1, \tau_2] := \{\tau \mid \tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}$ is finite (resp., $\{\tau \geq \tau_1\}$ is finite)

Example: • Λ w. the usual order is interval finite.

• If \mathcal{T} is interval finite, then $\mathcal{T}(\leq \tau_2) = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T} \mid \tau \leq \tau_2\}$ is coideal finite $\forall \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}$.

$\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}$ should be a highest weight category with poset Λ & standards $\Delta_{p,\nu}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ — we just need to say what this means formally.

Let \mathbb{F} be a field & \mathcal{C} be an abelian category w. objects $\Delta(\tau), \tau \in \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{T} is interval finite. To a poset ideal $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{T}$ we assign the Serre span $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}_0}$ of $\Delta(\tau), \tau \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

Def: We say that \mathcal{C} is **highest weight** w. poset \mathcal{T} & standard objects $\Delta(\tau)$ if the following hold:

(I) - properties of \mathcal{C} itself:

(I.1) \mathcal{C} is Noetherian,

(I.2) Hom's are finite dimensional

(II) - highest wt. structure for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}_0}$ - familiar axioms:

(II.1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta(\tau_1), \Delta(\tau_2)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$

(II.2) $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta(\tau)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}$

(II.3) $\forall M \in \mathcal{C}, \neq 0 \exists \tau \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta(\tau), M) \neq 0$

(II.4) \forall coideal finite poset ideal $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{T} \forall \tau \in \mathcal{J}_0 \exists$ projective object P_{τ} in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}_0}$ w. $P_{\tau} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\tau)$ & \ker filtered by $\Delta(\tau')$ w $\tau' \in \mathcal{J}_0, \tau' > \tau$ (a finite filtration)

Premium exercise: $\mathcal{O}_{p,n}$ is highest weight w. poset Λ &

standards $\Delta_{p,\gamma}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

3) Deformation & subgeneric behaviour.

We want to analyze $O_{p,\gamma}$ using the same techniques as we used for the BGG cat. O , namely

- construct a deformation over a formal power series algebra.
- understand the subgeneric behavior.
- construct a "nice" functor to a "combinatorial" category

3.1) Deformation.

The formal power series ring will be in $r+1$ (where $r = \text{rk } \sigma$) variables, r corresponding to deforming γ and one corresponds to deforming p . Namely, let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Cartan, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\hbar$ (where we use \hbar to denote a central element in the Kac-Moody algebra corresp. to (\cdot, \cdot)). Set $R = \mathbb{C}[[\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^*]]$.

We can consider the deformation $O_{p,\gamma,R}$ similarly to $O_{\gamma,R}$ in Sec 1.3 in Lec 1.

Set $\hat{e} = \exp(\mathfrak{r}\sqrt{-1}/(p+\hbar)) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \subset R$ and form the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\hat{e}}^{\text{mix}}/\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. We still have the natural inclusion $\iota: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow R$.

By def'n, $\mathcal{O}_{p,\mathfrak{r},R}$ consists of certain Λ -graded fm. generated $\mathcal{U}_{\hat{e}}^{\text{mix}}$ -modules (cf. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{r},R}$ in Sec 1.3). The condition for the action of K_i 's on M_λ is that it acts by the following element of R :

$$\exp(\mathfrak{r}\sqrt{-1}[(\lambda + \mathfrak{r}, \alpha_i) + \iota(\alpha_i)]/(p+\hbar))$$

The category $\mathcal{O}_{p,\mathfrak{r},R}$ is highest weight over R , the details of the definition are left as an **exercise**.

3.2) Subgeneric behavior.

We consider the affine root system $\{\alpha + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Def: The **integral roots** for (\mathfrak{r}, p) are the affine roots $\alpha + n\delta$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ & $n_\alpha((\alpha, \mathfrak{r}) + np) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $n_\alpha = \frac{(\alpha^\vee, 2^\vee)}{2}$.

Expectation: 1) $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma,p}$ is semisimple \Leftrightarrow there are no integral roots.
 2) When the integral root system consists of exactly two (mutually opposite) roots, $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma,p}$ is equivalent to \oplus of blocks of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_2)$. This is the subgeneric behavior.

Remark: In the full generality this is not in the literature but in interesting (and sufficiently broad) special cases it is. The case when ε is not a root of 1 should be done using a suitable version of twisting functors (constituting an action of Br_w) and their t -exactness morally similar to I.L.'s work with Dhillon. With this, the proof reduces to the case when $\varepsilon = i\sqrt{1}$: we expect that for generic γ , $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma,\gamma}$ is s/simple. This is known when the order of ε is odd (& coprime to 3 if σ_γ is G_2), and is based on understanding the Azumaya locus in $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{DK}})$. An informal reason why $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\gamma}$ w. γ generic should be s/simple is as follows.

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \sigma_\gamma$ be a Levi. Suppose that $(\gamma, \alpha) + np$ is suff. generic for all α that are not roots of \mathcal{L} & all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then we expect that the parabolic induction functor $\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an equivalence. But $\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu}(L)$ is semisimple.

4) Whittaker coinvariants.

We now assume:

- $\nu = 0$
- $\varepsilon = \text{primitive } d\sqrt{1}$ w. d odd (& coprime to 3 for $\mathfrak{g} = G_2$).

To handle the general case, some modification may be needed.

It will be convenient to modify the Cartan part: let Λ_w^\vee be the coweight lattice: we replace $\text{Span}(K_\mu \mid \mu \in \Lambda)$ w. $\text{Span}(K_\mu \mid \mu \in \Lambda_w^\vee)$. It still naturally acts on modules from $\mathcal{O}_{p,\nu,R}$.

Our functor will still be Whittaker coinvariants.

Note that the quantum Serre relations imply that there's no homomorphism $U^- \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{C}$ w. $\varphi(F_i) \neq 0 \ \forall i$ (exercise).

However, Sevostyanov proved that there are elements

$\lambda_i \in P^\vee$ s.t. \tilde{U}^- generated by the elements $\tilde{F}_i = K_{\lambda_i} F_i$ admits $\psi: \tilde{U}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ w. $\psi(\tilde{F}_i) = 1$. Then we can consider the functor $Wh: \mathcal{O}_{p, \lambda, R} \rightarrow R, M \mapsto M / \sum_{i \in I} \text{im}(\tilde{F}_i - 1)$.

The properties of this functor are similar to its non-quantum counterpart, the main difference is that the finite Weyl group is replaced w. $W^{\alpha, \vee} = W \ltimes \Lambda$. Namely,

I) $Wh: \mathcal{O}_{p, \lambda}^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ is faithful.

II) The center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{DK}})$ acts on modules from $\mathcal{O}_{p, \lambda, R}$ commuting w. $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{DK}}$ & making Wh a functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{p, \lambda, R} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{DK}}) \otimes R\text{-mod}$$

It is fully faithful on $\mathcal{O}_{p, \lambda, R}^\Delta$.

III) We have $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{DK}}) \simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}(K_{2\mu} | \mu \in P)^{(W, \cdot)}_{(W, \cdot)}$ via HC isomorphism. This implies infinitesimal block decomposition for $\mathcal{O}_{p, 0}$ (& $\mathcal{O}_{p, 0, R}$). Namely consider the action of $W \ltimes \Lambda$ on Λ , where W acts by the dot-action & Λ acts by $t_\lambda \cdot \mu = \mu + d\lambda$ (where d is the order of ε). Then $\mathcal{O}_{p, 0} = \bigoplus_{\vec{\Sigma}} \mathcal{O}_{p, 0, \vec{\Sigma}}$, where $\vec{\Sigma}$ runs over the set of $W \ltimes \Lambda$ -orbits in Λ . This can be

deduced, for example from Sec 3.2. Every orbit has unique point in the anti-dominant d -alcove:

$$A_- = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0, \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \geq -d\}$$

Let λ_- be the unique point of $A_- \cap \Xi$ & $W^\circ \subset W \ltimes \Lambda$ be its stabilizer, a finite reflection group.

IV) $W \ltimes \Lambda$ acts on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\hbar$ s.t. W acts by the default action & $t_\mu(\xi + z\hbar) = \mu + (z + \langle \mu, \xi \rangle)\hbar$ ($\mu \in \Lambda, \xi \in \mathfrak{h}$). For $\lambda \in \Xi$ let γ_λ denote the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U}_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}^{\text{DK}}) \rightarrow R$ given by action on $\Delta_{p,0,R}(\lambda)$. Then γ_{λ_-} gives an identification of the completion $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U}_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}^{\text{DK}})^{\wedge_{\Xi}}$ at the maximal ideal corresponding to Ξ & R^{W° . Moreover, for $x \in W^\circ$, $\gamma_{x \cdot \lambda_-} = x \gamma_{\lambda_-}$ giving an identification of $\Delta_{p,0,R}(\lambda)$ w. R^{W° - R -bimodule R_x .

Rem: One can ask to describe the order on $(W \ltimes \Lambda)/W^\circ$ coming from the highest wt structure on $\mathcal{O}_{p,0,\Xi}$ in terms of the Bruhat order, \leq . Note that it cannot coincide w \leq b/c the highest wt. order is preserved under left multiplication by the t_λ 's. It turns out that we have the following

well-defined order: $x \leq^{st} y$ if $t_\mu x \leq t_\mu y$ for all μ sufficiently dominant. This is the highest wt. order for $\mathcal{O}_{p,0,\Sigma}$.