

Hasse-Minkowski theorem, Lec 4:

Quadratic forms with p -adic coefficients

0) Introduction

We now want to investigate quadratic forms

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \leq j} g_{ij} x_i x_j \quad \text{w. } g_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$$

Here's a motivation. Our original goal in Lec 1 was to investigate quadratic forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$: we wanted to know when such a form represents 0 (over $\mathbb{Q}$). Thanks to Sec 3.1, we know that $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ & so if for q with rational coefficients $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has a nonzero solution in $\mathbb{Q}^n$, then it (tautologically) has a nonzero solution in $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ ($\forall p$). This gives us a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for q representing 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$. For example, the 1st exercise in Lec 1 (partly solved in Lec 2) can be stated as:

Example/exercise: $x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 - 6x_4^2$ does not represent 0 in $\mathbb{Q}_3$, while $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 7x_4^2$ does not represent 0 in $\mathbb{Q}_2$.

The main result of this lecture is:

Theorem: For $n \geq 5$, every quadratic form $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ represents 0 over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, i.e. $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has a nonzero solution in $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$.

This theorem explains but does not (yet) prove Theorem from Lec 1 (if $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ w. $n \geq 5$ & rational coefficients represents 0 over $\mathbb{R}$ then it represents 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$)

1) Recap

Recall that in Lec 1 we worked with quadratic forms w. coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$, which can be $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, or, in fact, $\mathbb{Q}_p$. And:

- 1) introduced equivalence of forms via invertible linear changes of variables (with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$)
- 2) proved that any $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is equivalent to diagonal $q' = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i^2$ ($q_i \in \mathbb{F}$). Below we'll use notation $[q_1, \dots, q_n]$

for this diagonal form. Moreover, if q represents $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ then we can find diagonal q' w. $q_1 = a$.

Rem: Two different diagonal forms can be equivalent:

a) Permuting q_i 's results in equivalent forms (the corresponding change of variables is a permutation)

b) If $m < n$ & $[q_1, \dots, q_m] \sim [q'_1, \dots, q'_m]$, then $[q_1, \dots, q_n] \sim [q'_1, \dots, q'_m, q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n]$ ($\forall q_1, \dots, q_n, q'_1, \dots, q'_m \in \mathbb{F}$) (change only the 1st m variables).

c) $[q_1, \dots, q_n] \sim [a_1^2 q_1, \dots, a_n^2 q_n] \quad \forall a_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ (the corresponding change of variables is rescaling the x_i 's).

2) Proof of Theorem for $p > 2$

If q, q' are equivalent, then q represents 0 if and only if q' does. So we can assume that $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i^2$ for $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$

If one of q_i is 0, we are done, so we can assume that $q_i \neq 0 \quad \forall i$.

Our strategy is as follows: $n \geq 5 \Rightarrow$ at least 3 of q_i 's

31

(say (q_1, q_2, q_3)) have orders of the same parity. We'll see (this is a crucial step) that $[q_1, q_2]$ represents $-q_3$. Once this is known we can argue as follows. By 2) above $[q_1, q_2] \sim [-q_3, q_0]$ for some $q_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then by 6), we have $[q_1, \dots, q_n] \sim [-q_3, q_0, q_3, \dots, q_n]$, which represents 0: plug $x_1 = x_3 = 1$, $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 3$.

So to prove the theorem it remains to show:

Important lemma: If $\text{ord}(q_1) \equiv \text{ord}(q_2) \pmod{2}$, then $[q_1, q_2]$ represents any α w. $\text{ord}(\alpha) \equiv \text{ord}(q_i) \pmod{2}$.

Proof: $[q_1, q_2]$ represents $\alpha \Leftrightarrow \exists x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid q_1 x_1^2 + q_2 x_2^2 = \alpha$
 $\Leftrightarrow z y_1^2 q_1 \cdot (x_1/y_1)^2 + z y_2^2 q_2 (x_2/y_2)^2 = z\alpha \Leftrightarrow [z y_1^2 q_1, z y_2^2 q_2]$ represents $z\alpha$, $\forall z, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$. So we can assume that $q_1 = 1$, $\text{ord}(q_2) = \text{ord}(\alpha) = 0$.

In Lemma 1 of Sec 3.2 we've seen that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i p^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ w. $b_0 \neq 0$ is a complete square $\Leftrightarrow b_0$ is a quadratic residue

A more general (but equivalent) claim is:

4

(*) For $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i$, $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i p^i$ ($a_0, b_0 \neq 0$), α/β is a complete square $\Leftrightarrow a_0, b_0$ are either both quadratic residues or both quadratic nonresidues.

Write $q_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i p^i$ ($b_0 \neq 0$). If a_0 is a quadratic residue, we are done: $\alpha = x_1^2$ for suitable x_1 . And if b_0, a_0 are both quadratic nonresidues, then we are also done: $\alpha = q_2 x_2^2$. It remains to handle the case when b_0 is a quadratic residue, while a_0 is not.

First, we claim that $\exists$ quadratic non-residue can be presented as the sum of two quadratic residues.

Assume the contrary. Then the subset $X = \{\text{quadratic residues}\} \cup \{0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is closed under taking sums. This is impossible: the only subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ closed under taking sums are $\emptyset, \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

So we can find $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ s.t. $y_1^2 + q_2 y_2^2 = \alpha' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a'_i p^i$, where α' is a quadratic non-residue; by (*), $\alpha'/\alpha = y^2 \Rightarrow (y_1/y)^2 + q_2 (y_2/y)^2 = \alpha$. □ of Lemma.

The case of $p=2$ (more complicated) will be handled in a problem set.

3) Hasse-Minkowski theorem

Our goal was to prove that a quadratic form with rational coefficients represents 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$ if it represents 0 over $\mathbb{R}$ provided $n \geq 5$. We have proved something related: that every quadratic form over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in $n \geq 5$ variables represents 0. At the first glance, this doesn't help much: the implication is that a form representing 0 in $\mathbb{Q}$ represents 0 in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, not the other way around.

Theorem If a form q with rational coefficients represents 0 over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all primes p , then it represents 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Exercise: Prove this for $n=2$.