

Lecture 15, 03/03/25 (Rem in Sec 2.2 added 3/17)

1) Kempf- Ness theorem

2) Applications.

Refs: [PV], Sec. 6.12; [CdS], Part VIII; [L].

1) Kempf- Ness theorem

1.1) Statement

Let G be a reductive group & V be its finite dimensional rational representation. Let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup of G , it's defined up to G -conjugation. There is a K -invariant Hermitian scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) on V . Note that $(xv, v) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R} \forall x \in \mathfrak{k} \text{ \& } v \in V$.

Define a map $\mu: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ by $\langle \mu(v), x \rangle = \sqrt{-1} (xv, v)$

Exercise: μ is K -equivariant.

Remark: Here's how one computes $\langle \mu(v), x \rangle, x \in \mathfrak{k}$. The element x is skew-Hermitian so $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} V_{\alpha}$, where x acts on V_{α} by $\sqrt{-1}\alpha$.

Write $v = \sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}$ w. $v_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$. Then

$$\langle \mu(v), x \rangle = \sqrt{-1} (xv, v) = [(V_{\alpha}, V_{\beta}) = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta] = - \sum_{\alpha} \alpha (v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha})$$

Here's the main result for this lecture.

1]

Theorem (Kempf-Ness) Let $v \in V$.

1) $Gv \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow Gv$ is closed.

2) If $Gv \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, then this intersection is a single K -orbit.

1.2) Preparation

We need some of the ingredients from Lec 11:

I) Cartan decomposition: we have a maximal torus $T \subset G$ w.

$T_K := K \cap T$ being maximal compact in T . Then we have $G = KTK$

Moreover, $T = T_K \times \exp(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (where $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(T)$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{X}_*(T)$; under identification $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, we have $\exp(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}) = (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^n$). Then we have

$$G = K \exp(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}) K.$$

II) The following was established in proving the Hilbert-Mumford thm: if $u, v \in V$ are s.t. $G_u \subset \overline{Gv}$, then $\exists k \in K$ s.t. $\overline{Kv} \cap G_u \neq \emptyset$ (Sec. 1.2.2 of Lec 11). Moreover, by Sec 1.1.4 in Lec 11, $\exists \gamma \in \mathcal{X}_*(T)$ s.t. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)k\sigma$ exists and lies in G_u .

1.3) Proof of Thm.

Step 1: Gv is closed $\Rightarrow Gv \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$: Since Gv is closed \exists point $v_0 \in Gv$ with minimal (v_0, v_0) . Take $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ and consider

$$v_t = \exp(t\sqrt{-1}\xi)v_0, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Note that $\frac{d}{dt} (v_t, v_t) \Big|_{t=0} = (\sqrt{-1}\xi v_0, v_0) + (\overline{v_0}, \overline{\sqrt{-1}\xi v_0}) = [\sqrt{-1}\xi$

2]

acts by Hermitian operator] = $2\sqrt{-1}(\xi v_0, v_0)$. But $t=0$ is a point of minimum of $t \mapsto (v_t, v_t)$. Hence $\sqrt{-1}(\xi v_0, v_0) = \mu(v_0) = 0$.

Step 2: $Gv \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Gv$ is closed: Can assume $\mu(v) = 0$. By II) in Sec 1.2, can replace v w. kv (this doesn't violate $\mu(v) = 0$ by Exercise in Sec. 1.1) and assume $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)v \in G_u$ (for the unique closed $G_u \subset \overline{Gv}$).

Let $x = \sqrt{-1}d_\gamma \gamma(1) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{L}ie(T_K)$. We have decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n, \quad V_n = \{v \in V \mid \gamma(t)v = t^n v \Leftrightarrow xv = \sqrt{-1}nv\}$$

Then existence of $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)v$ is equivalent to $v_i = 0$ for $i < 0$.

On the other hand, by Remark in Sec 1.1,

$$\langle \mu(v), x \rangle = -\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n(v_n, v_n).$$

Combining $\langle \mu(v), x \rangle = 0$ w. $v_i = 0 \forall i < 0$, we arrive at $v = v_0 \Rightarrow$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)v = v \Rightarrow G_u = Gv \Rightarrow Gv \text{ is closed.}$$

Step 3: We prove 2). It remains to prove that if $v \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ & $g \in G$ are s.t. $\mu(gv) = 0$, then $gv \in Kv$. Write g as $k_1 \exp(x) k_2$ for $k_i \in K$, $x \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$, see I) in Sec. 1.2. Replacing v w. $k_2 v$ we can assume $\mu(\exp(x)v) = 0$.

Write v as $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} v_\alpha$ w. $x.v_\alpha = \alpha v_\alpha \Rightarrow \exp(x)v_\alpha = e^\alpha v_\alpha$. Then

$$\mu(v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum \alpha(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha < 0} (-\alpha)(v_\alpha, v_\alpha)$$

$$\mu(\exp(x)v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum \alpha e^{2\alpha}(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{a \geq 0} a e^{\frac{2a}{\hbar}}(v_a, v_a) = \sum_{b \leq 0} (-b) e^{\frac{2b}{\hbar}}(v_b, v_b)$$

It follows that l.h.s of (2) \geq l.h.s of (1) w. equality iff $(v_a, v_a) = 0 \forall a > 0$, while r.h.s of (2) \leq r.h.s of (1) w. equality iff $(v_b, v_b) = 0 \forall b < 0$. It follows that $\mu(\exp(x)v) = 0 \Rightarrow \exp(x)v = v$ finishing the proof. \square

2) Applications

Our main goal here is to prove the following result already mentioned in Lec 14, due to Matsushima & Onishchik:

Thm: Let G be a reductive group & $H \subset G$ an algebraic subgroup. If G/H is affine, then H is reductive.

The proof is based on the Kempf-Ness theorem as well as understanding the nature of the map μ : it's a moment map.

2.1) Moment maps.

Let M be a C^∞ -manifold. Recall that by a **symplectic form** on M one means a closed & non-degenerate 2-form ω . A basic example is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form on a real vector space. In particular, if V is a \mathbb{C} -vector space w.

Hermitian scalar product $(; \cdot)$, then $\omega = -2 \cdot \text{Im} (; \cdot)$ is a symplectic form (on the real vector space V).

Now suppose K is a Lie group acting on M preserving ω . The action induces a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M), x \mapsto x_M$.

Note that x_M is a symplectic vector field \Leftrightarrow the contraction $\iota_{x_M} \omega$ is a closed 1-form. If it's exact, then $\exists H_x \in C^\infty(M)$ w. $\iota_{x_M} \omega = dH_x$. We want to be able to choose H_x 's in a nice way:

Definition: By a **Hamiltonian** K -action on M we mean an action as above together w. a K -equivariant linear map (**moment map**) $x \mapsto H_x: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ s.t.

$$(3): \iota_{x_M} \omega = dH_x \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{k}$$

We define the moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ by

$$\langle \mu(m), x \rangle := H_x(m).$$

It is K -equivariant.

Example: Let V be a vector space and $\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^*$, non-degenerate.

Then we claim that we can take $H_x(v) = \frac{1}{2} \omega(xv, v)$. Indeed, the map is K -equivariant: $[K H_x](v) = \frac{1}{2} \omega(x K^{-1}v, K^{-1}v) = \frac{1}{2} \omega(\text{Ad}(K)x, v, v) = H_{\text{Ad}(K)x}(v)$ & $[d_v H_x](u) = \frac{1}{2} (\omega(xv, u) + \omega(v, xu)) = [x \text{ is symplectic}]$

$= \omega(xv, u) = [\iota_{x_v} \omega](u)$, which checks (3). In particular, for $\omega = -2 \cdot \text{Im}(\cdot, \cdot)$ we recover $\mu(v): x \mapsto \sqrt{-1} (xv, v)$

In our proof of Theorem we will need the following general property of a moment map.

Lemma: Let μ be a moment map for a Hamiltonian action of K on (M, ω) . Then $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{k}$ & $m \in M$ we have

$$\omega_m(x_{M,m}, y_{M,m}) = \langle \mu(m), [x, y] \rangle.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_m(x_{M,m}, y_{M,m}) &= [\iota_{x_{M,m}} \omega]_m(y_{M,m}) = [(3)] = d_m H_x(y_{M,m}) = \\ &\langle d_m \mu(y_{M,m}), x \rangle = [\mu \text{ is } K\text{-equivariant, hence } \mathfrak{k}\text{-equivariant: intertwines} \\ &y_M \text{ w. } y_{\mathfrak{k}^*}] = \langle \underbrace{y_{\mathfrak{k}^*, \mu(m)}}_{\in \mathfrak{k}^*}, x \rangle = [\text{def'n of coadjoint action}] = -\langle \mu(m), [y, x] \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

2.2) Proof of Theorem.

Since G/H is affine, it embeds as a closed G -stable subvariety into some G -rep'n V . Let v be the image of H . Take (\cdot, \cdot) , μ as in Sec. 1. We can replace v w. a G -conjugate & achieve $\mu(v) = 0$, thx to Kempf-Ness thm.

Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k}$, we have $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow$

$$(4) \dim_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}.$$

Note that H is reductive $\Leftrightarrow H^\circ$ is. To prove that H° is reductive, it suffices to show that $H^\circ \cap K$ is Zariski dense in H° , which would follow if we show (4) is an equality, cf. Lemma in Sec. 1.3 of Lec 2. So it remains to show

$$(5) \dim_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h} \Leftrightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k} \cdot v \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} \cdot v$$

Note that:

(i) $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$ is a complex subspace, hence $\omega = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$.

(ii) By Lemma in Sec. 2.1, $\omega_v(x \cdot v, y \cdot v) = \langle \mu(v), [x, y] \rangle = 0 \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{k} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{k} \cdot v$ is an isotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$. This yields the equivalent formulation of (5) & finishes the proof. \square

Remark (added 3/17) The argument of the proof implies that for $v \in \mu^{-1}(0)$, $\operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Stab}_k(v))$ is a real form of $\operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}(v))$. In particular, if $\operatorname{Stab}_k(v)$ is finite, then so is $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}(v)$.

2.3) Luna's closedness criterion.

Thm (Luna): Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X . Let $H \subset G$ be reductive & $x \in X^H$. If $N_G(H)x$ is closed, then so is Gx .

$\overline{\neq}$

Proof: \exists G -equivariant closed embedding of X into a rational representation V so we can assume $X=V$. We can choose maximal compact subgroups $K_H \subset K_N \subset K$ in $H \subset N := N_G(H) \subset G$. Choose a K -invariant Hermitian scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) on V & form the moment maps μ_N, μ for K_N, K . They are related as follows: if $p: \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}_N^*$ is the restriction map, then $\mu_N = p \circ \mu$. Note that x is fixed by K_H , hence $\mu(x) \in (\mathfrak{k}^*)^{K_H}$. Also

$$Z_K(K_H) \subset K_N \Rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^{K_H} \subset \mathfrak{k}_N \Rightarrow [(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}_N)^*]^{K_H} = 0 \Rightarrow p|_{(\mathfrak{k}^*)^{K_H}} \text{ is injective.}$$

Since $\mu(x) \in (\mathfrak{k}^*)^{K_H}$, we have $\mu_N(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(x) = 0$.

Now we are done by the Kempf-Ness theorem \square

Corollary: If $N_G(H)/H$ is finite (e.g. H is a maximal torus), then Gx is closed.

Remark: In fact, the opposite inclusion in Thm is true as well: if Gx is closed, then $N_G(H)x$ is closed. This can be deduced from Vinberg's lemma (Sec 3.1 of Lec 6) and is left as an **exercise**.

2.4) Characteristic of a nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} .

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} & $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}$ denote

the Killing form. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a nonzero nilpotent element. As was mentioned in Sec. 2.3.1 of Lec 10, we can include e into an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, h, f) .

Proposition: h is a characteristic of e (w.r.t. $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}$) in the sense of Sec 2 of Lec 12.

Proof: Recall the Levi subgroup $G_0(h) = Z_G(h)$ & its normal subgroup $\underline{G}_0(h)$: the connected subgroup w. Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0(h) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_0(h) \mid (h, x)_{\text{ad}} = 0\}$. As we've mentioned in Example in Sec. 1.1 of Lec 13, it's enough to show that $\underline{G}_0(h)e$ is closed.

Let S denote the connected subgroup of G w. Lie algebra $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(e, h, f) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ (so that $S \simeq SL_2$ or SO_3). Let K_S denote the image of SU_2 under $SL_2 \rightarrow S$, it's a maximal compact subgroup. One can find K w. $K_S \subset K$.

Let τ be the anti-linear automorphism of \mathfrak{g} that is the identity on \mathbb{C} . It's restriction to $\mathfrak{s} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(e, h, f) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ fixes SU_2 , hence is given by $x \mapsto -\bar{x}^t$. Therefore

$$(*) \quad \tau(e) = -f, \tau(f) = -e, \tau(h) = -h.$$

On the other hand, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}$ is negative definite on \mathbb{C} (b/c \mathbb{C} acts by skew-Hermitian operators on any rational representation of G).

Hence $(\cdot, \cdot)_H := - (x, \tau(y))_{\text{ad}}$ is a Hermitian scalar product on \mathfrak{g}

invariant w.r.t. K . Observe that $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_0(h)$:

$$(**) \quad ([x, e], e)_H = [(*)] = ([x, e], f)_{ad} = [\text{invariance}] = (x, h) = 0$$

Note that $K_0(h) = \text{Stab}_K(\sqrt{-1}h)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_0(h)$ (the latter is connected & $\text{Lie}(K_0(h))$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_0(h)$). Also $\underline{\mathfrak{k}}_0(h) = \mathfrak{k}_0(h) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0(h)$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_0(h)$ so the Lie algebra of a maximal compact subgroup $\underline{K}_0(h)$ of $\underline{G}_0(h)$. Let μ denote the moment map from $\underline{K}_0(h) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$. $(**)$ says precisely that $\mu(e) = 0$. So $\underline{G}_0(h)e$ is closed in \mathfrak{g} (& hence in $\mathfrak{g}_2(h)$) by the Kempf-Ness theorem \square

