

Now we can define category $\mathcal{O}$.

Definition. The category $\mathcal{O}_c = \mathcal{O}_c(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})$ is the category of $H_{1,c}$ -modules which are finitely generated over $S\mathfrak{h}^*$ and such that the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ is locally nilpotent. (this is an Abelian category).

Prop. 2.7. Let M be an $H_c = H_{1,c}$ -module which is finite over $S\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then $M \in \mathcal{O}_c$ if and only if $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on M locally finitely.

Pf. First show that if M is any H_c -module and $v \in M$ is a locally nilpotent vector for $\mathfrak{h}$, then $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on $(S\mathfrak{h})v$ locally finitely. The proof is by induction on $\dim (S\mathfrak{h})v$.

$\exists u \in (S\mathfrak{h})v$, $u \neq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{h}u = 0$. Let U be the space of such u . Let $\langle U \rangle \subset M$ be the submodule gen. by U . Then $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on U by $-\sum \frac{x_i}{1-x_i} s_i + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{h}}{2}$, so locally finitely. Hence, $\mathfrak{h}$ acts locally finitely on $\langle U \rangle$ (as $\langle U \rangle$ is a quotient of $U \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^*$). On the other hand, if $\bar{v}$ is the image of v in $M/\langle U \rangle$, then $\dim (S\mathfrak{h})\bar{v} < \dim (S\mathfrak{h})v$ (as $U \neq 0$), so by induction assumption $\mathfrak{h}$ acts locally finitely on it. This implies the "only if".

Prop 2.7a If $M \in \mathcal{O}_c$ then the spectrum of $h|_M$ lies in a finite union of arithmetic progressions $\mu + \mathbb{Z}_+$, and generalized eigenspaces of h are finite dimensional.

PF. Follows from the proof of Prop 2.7.

Pf of Prop 2.7 cont'd

To prove the "if" part, assume that h is locally finite on M , and pick a f.d. h -invariant generating subspace $E \subset M$ over $S\mathfrak{h}^*$, and let μ_j be the eigenvalues of h on E ($j = 1, \dots, N$). Then the eigenvalues of h on M belong to $\bigcup (\mu_j + \mathbb{Z}_+)$. Thus, g acts locally nilpotently on M , since it reduces the eigenvalues of h by 1.

Prop 2.8. The Verma module $\Delta(\tau)$ has a unique maximal proper submodule $J(\tau)$.

Pf. Let $J(\tau)$ be the sum of all proper submodules of $\Delta(\tau)$. Let h_τ be the eigenvalue of h on the highest wt $\tau \in \Delta_\tau$.

$$h(\tau) = -\sum \frac{2c_s}{1-\lambda_s} s \mid_\tau + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{h}}{2}$$
 Then eigenvalues of h on $J(\tau)$ belong to $h(\tau) + 1 + \mathbb{Z}_+$, so $h(\tau)$ does not occur, and hence $J(\tau)$ is proper. $\blacksquare$

Define $L(\tau) = \Delta(\tau)/J(\tau)$. Then $L(\tau)$ is simple, and any simple L in $\mathcal{O}_c$ is of the form $L(\tau)$ (since it has "lowest" eigenvalue of h).

Remark. Any f.d. $H_{\pm, c}$ -module belongs to $\mathcal{O}_c$.

Definition. The character of $M \in \mathcal{O}_c$ is $\chi_M(q, q) = \text{Tr}_M(qq^h)$, $q \in \Gamma$.

This makes sense as a series in q (infinite in the positive direction), by Prop 2.7a.

Example. $\chi_{\Delta(\tau)}(g, q) = \frac{\chi_{\tau}(g) q^{h(\tau)}}{\det_{\mathbb{C}}^*(1 - gq)}$

Pf. This follows from Molien's formula:
if $A: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear map then
$$\sum \text{tr}_{S^n V}(S^n A) q^n = \frac{1}{\det(1 - qA)}.$$

Partial order on weights. Suppose c is fixed. Then we have the function $h(\tau) = h_c(\tau)$ the eigenvalue of h on the highest wt of $\Delta(\tau)$ (or $L(\tau)$):

$$h(\tau) = \frac{\dim h}{2} - \sum \frac{2c_s}{1 - \lambda_s} s.$$

We will say that $\tau \stackrel{c}{\geq} \sigma$ if

$h_c(\tau) \geq h_c(\sigma) - n$, where n is a strictly positive integer.

This defines a partial order on representations of Γ .

Now we want to discuss in more detail the example $\Gamma = G(\ell, 1, n)$. The irreducible representations of $G(\ell, 1, n)$ are parametrized by

multipartitions $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^l)$

such that $\sum |\lambda^i| = n$

This parametrization is as follows.

Given λ , let $n_i = |\lambda^i|$, and for $1 \leq j \leq l$.

let $\chi_j: \mathbb{Z}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, $\chi_j(k) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i j k}{e}\right)$.

Now define π_λ to be the representation

$$\pi_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{(S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_l}) \times \mathbb{Z}_e^n}^{S_n \times \mathbb{Z}_e^n} \left[(\pi_{\lambda_1} \otimes \chi_1^{\otimes n_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\pi_{\lambda_l} \otimes \chi_l^{\otimes n_l}) \right]$$

Proposition 2.8. This construction produces all the irreducible representations of $G(l, 1, n)$, and each exactly once.

Proof. Standard

Example. Consider the case $G(l, 1, 1) = \mathbb{Z}_e$.

Then the Cherednik algebra H_c is defined by the relations

$$[y, x] = 1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j s^j, \quad s^e = 1, \quad (\lambda = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{e}})$$
$$s x s^{-1} = \lambda x, \quad s y s^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} y$$

Let us consider a more convenient parametrization. Let $e_1, \dots, e_l$ be the idempotents of the representations

(characters)

-13-

$\chi_1, \dots, \chi_\ell$ in $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. Then we get

$$[y, x] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu_i e_i,$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu_i = \ell$.

We have standard modules Δ_i with highest weights χ_i . We have

$\Delta_i = \mathbb{C}[x]v_i$, and $y x^m v_i = \beta_{m,i} x^{m-1} v_i$, $\beta_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us write recursion for $\beta_{m,i}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{m,i} x^{m-1} v_i &= y x^m v_i = x y x^{m-1} v_i + \left(\sum \mu_j e_j \right) x^{m-1} v_i \\ &= \beta_{m-1,i} x^{m-1} v_i + \mu_{i+m-1} x^{m-1} v_i \end{aligned}$$

So we get $\beta_{m,i} = \beta_{m-1,i} + \mu_{i+m-1}$,
and thus $\beta_{m,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mu_{i+j}$.

Thus we see:

Prop 2.9. Δ_i is irreducible if and only if $\forall m \geq 1, \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mu_{i+j} \neq 0$.

Example. $\ell=2$, $[y, x] = 1 - 2cs = \mu_1 e_1 + \mu_2 e_2$,
 $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 2$, $\mu_1 = 1 + 2c$, $\mu_2 = 1 - 2c$.

$$\Delta = \Delta(\mathbb{C})$$

Δ_2 is irreducible iff $\beta_{m,2} \neq 0 \forall m$

$$\beta_{m,2} = \begin{cases} m, & m \text{ even} \\ 1-2c+2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor = m-2c, & m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

So $\Delta(\mathbb{C})$ is irreducible unless

$$c = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots,$$

$\Delta_1 = \Delta(\mathbb{C}_-)$ is irreducible iff $\beta_{m,1} \neq 0 \forall m$

$$\beta_{m,1} = \begin{cases} m, & m \text{ even} \\ 1+2c+2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor = m+2c & \text{iff } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

So $\Delta(\mathbb{C}_-)$ is irreducible unless $c = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, \dots$

The simple module $L_i(\mu)$ is $\Delta_i(\mu)$

if $\Delta_i(\mu)$ is irreducible ($\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mu_{i+j}$ never vanishes), otherwise L_i is finite dim.

$L_i \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/x^m$, where m is the smallest integer such that $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mu_{i+j} = 0$.

In particular, in the most degenerate case, all L_i except one are finite dimensional.

Prop 2.10. Any $M \in \mathcal{O}_c$ has a finite filtration whose successive quotients are highest weight modules (=quotients of Verma modules).

Pf. Let λ be an eigenvalue of h on M such that $\lambda - 1$ is not an eigenvalue. Let $M(\lambda)$ be the eigenspace, and $\tau \subset M(\lambda)$ an irreducible T -submodule. Then we have a homom. $\psi: \Delta(\tau) \rightarrow M$, whose image is a highest wt module. Let $F_0 M = \text{Im } \psi$, and then consider $M^{(1)} = M / F_0 M$, and apply the same procedure. We get a filtration $F_0 M \subset F_1 M \subset \dots$ which has highest wt successive quotients $F_{i+1} M / F_i M$. We claim that this filtration is finite (and exhausting). Indeed, there are only finitely many λ which can arise, namely $\lambda = h(\sigma)$ for some σ . Let N be the length of the sum of generalized eigenspaces of M with these eigenvalues as a T -module. Then the length of the filtration is $\leq N$.

Cor 2.11 Any $M \in \mathcal{O}_c$ has finite length.
Pf. By Prop. 2.10, it suffices to show that $\Delta(\tau)$ has finite length for any τ . We prove it by induction with respect to τ (under the partial order we defined). We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J(\tau) \rightarrow \Delta(\tau) \rightarrow L(\tau) \rightarrow 0$$

so it suffices to prove that $J(\tau)$ has finite length. But By Prop 2.10, $J(\tau)$ has a finite filtration, whose successive quotients are highest weight modules with highest weight $\sigma \leq \tau$. So we are done by the induction assumption (For the base we use minimal ets τ of $\text{Irr} \Gamma$, for which $\Delta(\tau)$ are simple).

Contragredient modules. We have a natural contravariant functor ${}^+ : \mathcal{O}_c(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_c^*(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}^*)$ where $\bar{C}(s) = C(s^{-1})$. This functor is defined by the formula $M^+ = M_{\text{res}}^{*\omega}$, where M_{res}^* is the restricted dual (direct sum of duals of generalized λ -eigenspaces),

and $\omega: H_c(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_c(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}^*)$

is the isomorphism given by the formula $\omega|_{\mathcal{H}} = \omega|_{\mathcal{H}^*} = \text{id}$, $\omega(g) = g^{-1}$, $g \in \Gamma$.

The objects $\Delta_{c^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^*}(\tau)^+$

are called costandard and denoted by $\nabla(\tau)$. It's easy to see that

they have the same characters as $\Delta(\tau)$, and moreover same composition factors, but the composition factors are arranged in the opposite order, e.g. $\Delta(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow L(\tau)$ but $L(\tau) \hookrightarrow \nabla(\tau)$.

Projective covers. We would like to show

that $\mathcal{O}_c$ is a finite category, i.e. every object has a projective cover. To this end, consider the modules $\Delta(\tau, n) = H_c \otimes_{S\mathcal{H} \# \Gamma} (\tau \otimes S\mathcal{H}/m^{n+1})$, ($=$ has enough projectives)

where $m \subset S\mathcal{H}$ is the max. ideal. (so $\Delta(\tau, 0) = \Delta(\tau)$).

Theorem 2.12. For large enough n ,
 $\Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$ contains a direct summand $\bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma} \Delta(\tau, n)$ which is a projective generator for $\mathcal{O}_c$.

Proof. We have degree operator $\partial: \Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n) \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$, $([\partial, x] = x, [\partial, y_i] = -y_i, [\partial, \Gamma] = 0)$, and also $h: \Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n) \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$. So $h - \partial$ is an endomorphism.

Let $\Sigma = \{h(\tau), \tau \in \text{Irr}(\Gamma)\}$, and let $\Delta^\Sigma(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$ be the direct sum of generalized eigenspaces of $h - \partial$ on $\Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$ with eigenvalues in Σ . Then $\Delta^\Sigma(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$ is a direct summand in $\Delta(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$. Also,

$$\text{Hom}(\Delta^\Sigma(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n), X) = \left\{ v \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} X_{\text{gen}}(\lambda) : v \in X_{m^{n+1}} v = 0 \right\}$$

For large enough n , the condition

$m^{n+1}U=0$ is vacuous, so

generalized eigenspace

$$\text{Hom}(\Delta^\Sigma(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n), X) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} X_{\text{gen}}(\lambda).$$

and thus $\Delta^\Sigma(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n)$ is projective.

Also, it's a generator, since if $\text{Hom}(\Delta^\Sigma(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, n), X) = 0$ then

$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} X_{\text{gen}}(\lambda) = 0$, hence $X = 0$ (as X must have a filtration with successive quotients highest weight). ■

Thus, every simple module $L(\tau)$ has a projective cover $P(\tau)$.

Proposition 2.13. $P(\tau)$ is filtered by

$\Delta(\sigma)$. Moreover, $P(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\tau)$, and the kernel of this map is filtered by $\Delta(\sigma)$ with $\sigma > \tau$.

Proof. It is easy to show that $\text{Hom}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\text{Hom}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) = \mathbb{C}$, and $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$. This means that if we totally order $\text{Irr} \Gamma$ refining the partial order, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_N$,

-19a-

then any Δ -filtered object X in $\mathcal{O}$ has a canonical filtration with successive quotients

$$\Delta(\lambda_1) \otimes E_1, \dots, \Delta(\lambda_N) \otimes E_N \quad (\text{bottom to top})$$

where E_i are f.d. vector spaces.

This filtration must be preserved by every endomorphism of X , since

$$\text{Hom}(\Delta(\lambda_i), \Delta(\lambda_j)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < j.$$

Thus, if $X \oplus Y$ is standardly filtered, then the projector p_X to X on $X \oplus Y$ preserves this filtration, and so must act by a projector p_i on each E_i .

This implies that X has a standard filtration. It is clear that $\Delta^\Sigma(\mathcal{O}, n)$ has a standard filtration.

Thus, $P(\tau)$ has a standard filtration.

Also, since $P(\tau)$ is projective, the morphism $P(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow L(\tau)$ lifts to $P(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\tau)$, which is clearly surjective. Also if

$P(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\sigma)$ then $P(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow L(\sigma)$, so $\sigma = \tau$. Thus $\text{Ker } P(\tau) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\tau)$ has a standard filtration. By the ordering argument above, $\forall \sigma$ s.t. $\Delta(\sigma)$ occurs in the kernel, $\sigma > \tau$.

Highest weight structure of $\mathcal{O}_c$

Recall that a highest weight category $(\mathcal{C})$ is an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$, equivalent to category of reps of a f.d. algebra, such that:

1) The simples $L(\lambda)$ are labeled by elements of a poset Λ .

2) For each λ , one is given an object $\Delta(\lambda)$, called the standard object labeled by λ , such that $\text{Hom}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda \leq \mu$ and $\text{End}(\Delta(\lambda)) = \mathbb{C}$.

3) One has: $P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ (where $P(\lambda)$ is the projective covers of $L(\lambda)$), and the kernel of this map admits a filtration whose successive quotients are $\Delta(\mu)$ with $\mu > \lambda$.

Theorem 2.14 The Standard modules $\Delta_c(\lambda)$ define the structure of a highest weight category on $\mathcal{O}_c$, for the partial ordering defined above.

Proof. Follows from the above Statements 1) and 2) are clear ($\Lambda = \text{Irrrep } \Gamma$). Also the map

$$\text{Hom}(P(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P(\lambda), L(\lambda)) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is an isomorphism, since

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(P(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) = \left[\Delta(\lambda) : L(\lambda) \right] = 1.$$

So $\exists \varphi: P(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ which gives rise to $\bar{\varphi}: P(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$. The image of φ therefore can't lie in $J(\lambda)$, so φ is surjective.

The fact that $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ is standardly filtered is shown by Briesburg-Guy-Opdam-Rouquier.

Thm. 2. The objects $D(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)^+$ are the costandard objects for $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark. Recall that in a highest weight category $\mathcal{C}$, the costandard objects $D(\lambda)$ are the injective hulls of $L(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \lambda}$, the same subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ spanned by L_{μ} with $\mu \leq \lambda$.

Proof. By the theory of highest weight categories, $\Delta(\lambda)$ are projective covers of $L(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \lambda}$. So $\operatorname{Ext}^i(\Delta(\lambda), L(\mu)) = 0, i \geq 1$. $\forall \mu \leq \lambda$. Dualizing, we get $\operatorname{Ext}^i(L(\mu), \Delta(\lambda)^+) = 0$ $i \geq 1$. $\forall \mu \leq \lambda$. So $\Delta(\lambda)^+$ is injective, hence $\Delta(\lambda)^+ = D(\lambda)$.

The case $\Gamma = S_n$

If $\Gamma = S_n$, then Irsep^Γ can be naturally identified with the set of partitions.

Also, there is only one conjugacy class of reflections (namely, transpositions) so c is just one number. The commutation relations look as follows (for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}^n$):

$$H_c(S_n, \mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C} \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle \# S_n$$

modulo:

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0, \quad [y_i, y_j] = 0,$$

$$[y_i, x_j] = c s_{ij}, \quad [y_i, x_i] = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} s_{ij}.$$

Note that $H_c(S_n, \mathbb{C}^n) = H_c(S_n, \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \otimes A_1$

$H_c(S_n, \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ is the subalgebra generated by $S_n, \bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i$ where $\bar{x}_i = x_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum x_j$, $\bar{y}_i = y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum y_j$.
 $\uparrow$ Weyl algebra.

Theorem 2.16 Category $\mathcal{O}_c$ is semisimple with $P(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$ unless $c = \frac{r}{m}$,

$$2 \leq m \leq n, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } (r, m) = 1.$$

Proof. Will be given later when we discuss KZ functor.

Let us see how $\mathcal{O}_c$ looks like for "interesting" values of c .

It's clear that

~~Weyl group~~

Theorem 2.17. (Rouquier) The structure of $\mathcal{O}_c$ does not depend on r , and only depends on m .

Proof. Will be discussed later.

So it's enough for us to consider

$$c = \frac{1}{m}.$$

Examples for interesting values of c

$n=2, c=\frac{1}{2}$. We've discussed this. We get category $\mathcal{C}_1$ from appendix. Simplex

$L_0, L_1, \dim L_0 = 1, L_1$ inf. dimensional (for $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$).

$n=3, c=\frac{1}{3}$ $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{\square\square\square} \mapsto 1 & \boxed{\square\square} \mapsto 0 & \boxed{\square} \mapsto -1. \end{array}$$

Get a copy of category $\mathcal{C}_2$.

$n=3, c=\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{\square\square\square} \mapsto \frac{3}{2} & \boxed{\square\square} \mapsto 0 & \boxed{\square} \mapsto -\frac{3}{2}. \end{array}$$

So have two blocks: One trivial block $\boxed{\square}$ and one nontrivial ($\mathcal{C}_1$), so $\mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1$. (e)

$n=4, c=\frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \boxed{\square\square\square\square} \mapsto \frac{3}{2} & \boxed{\square\square\square} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} & \boxed{\square\square} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} & \boxed{\square} \mapsto -\frac{3}{2} \\ \boxed{\square\square} \mapsto 0 & & & \end{array}$$

So get $\mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_3$.

 $\mapsto 2$
 $\rightarrow 0$

 $\rightarrow \frac{2}{3}$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow -\frac{2}{3}$$

$\downarrow \rightarrow -2$

So get three blocks: $\ell_2 \oplus \ell_0 \oplus \ell_0$.

$$n=4, c=\frac{1}{2}$$

$\boxed{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array}} \rightarrow 3$

$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \mapsto 1$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow -1$

[illegible]

田 $\rightarrow$ 0

This is actually the first example when we get something new. In this case all irreducibles are ^{Vermas} in a single block. Let us calculate the ~~irreducibles~~ ^{in terms of the} ~~in terms of~~ ^{Vermas}, and vice versa:

$$L_{(1^4)} = \Delta_{(1^4)}, \quad L_{(2,1^2)} = \Delta_{(2,1^2)} \rightarrow \Delta_{(1^4)}$$

$L(2,2) = \triangle_{(2,2)} - \triangle_{(2,1^2)} + \triangle_{(1^4)}$ ← supported in codim_2

$$L_{(3,1)} = \Delta_{(3,1)} - \Delta_{(2,2)} - \Delta_{(2,2)}$$

$$L_{(4)} = \Delta_{(4)} - \Delta_{(3,1)} + \Delta_{(2,2)} + \Delta_{(1,3)} - \Delta_{(1^4)}.$$

$$\Delta_{(1^4)} = L_{(1^4)} \quad \Delta_{(2,1^2)} = L_{(2,1^2)} + L_{(1^4)}$$

$$\Delta_{(2,2)} = L_{(2,2)} + L_{(2,1^2)}$$

$$\Delta_{(3,1)} = \mathbb{Z}_{(3,1)}$$

$$\Delta_{111} = L_{111}$$

$$\Delta_{211} = L_{211} + L_{1111}$$

$$\Delta_{22} = L_{22} + L_{211}$$

$$\Delta_{31} = L_{31} + L_{22} + L_{211} + L_{1111}$$

$$\Delta_4 = L_4 + L_{31} + L_{2211}$$

So we see standards of length 3 and 4.

Theorem. (Berest, E; Ginzburg). For $c > 0$, finite dimensional representations of $H_c(S_n, \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ exist only if $c = \frac{r}{n}$, $(r, n) = 1$. If c is such, there exists a unique f.d. irreducible representation, which has no self-extensions. This ^{(is $L_c(c)$)} repr. has dimension r^{n-1} , and character
$$\chi(g, q) = \frac{(1 - q^{r(n-1)})}{2} \cdot \frac{\det_q(1 - q^r g)}{\det_q(1 - qg)}.$$

Proof. We will only give a construction of this representation. Let

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint ((z - x_1) \cdots (z - x_n))^{\frac{r}{n}} dz \quad (\text{integral over a large circle.})$$

It's easy to check that the ideal of derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ is

invariant under Dunkl operators.

$$\text{Then } L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right), \quad (\sum x_i = 0)$$

The Jacobi ring of f , is a repr. of $H_{\mathbb{C}}(S_n, \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. It is easy to check

$$\text{that } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0 \Rightarrow x=0, \text{ so}$$

we have a complete intersection, and the character formula follows.

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} L_2 \\ L_1, L_3 \\ L_2 \end{array}$$

2

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta_{N-1} \rightarrow P_N \rightarrow \Delta_N \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} L_N \\ L_{N-1} \\ L_N \end{array}$$

Injectives are the same except

$$I_0 = D_0 = \Delta_0^+$$

Tiltings: $T_N = L_N$, $T_{N-1} = P_N = I_N, \dots$,

$$T_0 = P_1 = I_1.$$

The functor $X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, T)$:

$$\text{Hom}(\Delta_i, T_j) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\Delta_i, I_{j+1}) & \text{if } j < N \\ \text{Hom}(\Delta_i, L_N) & j = N \end{cases} = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_i, L_{j+1}]$$

$$\dim \text{Hom}(\Delta_i, T) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \neq 0 \\ 2 & 0 < i < N \\ 2 & i = N \end{cases}$$

So $\tilde{\Delta}_0$ has length 1 and $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ has length 2, $i \geq 0$. $\mathcal{C}^v$ is the same category, with opposed ordering on Λ .