

O & Soergel, lec 5: Basics on category O, I

- 1) Toward Koszul duality, finished
- 2) Deformed category O

1) Toward Koszul duality, finished

In Sec 1 of Lec 3 we've stated the following result (we now use $\mathfrak{g}$ instead of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$). Consider the functor

$$\mathbb{V}_k: M \mapsto \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}^{pr})}(\mathcal{L}_0(0), M[j]):$$
$$\{\bigoplus \mathcal{L}_0(?) [?]\} =: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}^{pr})_{ss} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^*(\mathcal{L}_0(0), \mathcal{L}_0(0))^{opp\text{-}grmod}.$$

Theorem (Soergel)

- 1) $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}^{pr}}^*(\mathcal{L}_0(0), \mathcal{L}_0(0)) = \mathbb{C}[k]^{cW}$
- 2) $\mathbb{V}_k$ is fully faithful on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}^{pr})_{ss}$
- 3) & $\mathbb{V}_k(\mathcal{L}_0(w^{-1} \cdot 0)) = B_{ww_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[k]} \mathbb{C}_0 \langle -\ell(w_0) \rangle$ (w_0 is the longest element in W).

Sketch of proof:

Thanks to Thm 1 in Sec 2.2 of Lec 3,

$$\mathcal{O}^{pr} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{C}/B}^N \quad (1)$$

One can show that:

i) Under (1), $\mathcal{L}_0(w^{-1}w_0 \cdot 0) \mapsto IC(BwB/B, \text{triv})$, in particular $\mathcal{L}_0(0) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{G/B}$.

$$\text{ii) } \mathcal{D}^b(N|G/B) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}\text{-mod}^N)$$

So we are computing Ext's in $\mathcal{D}^b(N|G/B)$. 1) follows from $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}^b(N|G/B)}^t(\mathcal{O}_{G/B}, \mathcal{O}_{G/B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_N^*(G/B) = H^*(G/B) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\text{cow}}$.

2) is a general result of Ginzburg from 1991. To prove 3) we use a form of the BBDG decomposition

Thm (Corollary in Sec 1.5, cf. Example in that section):

$$BS_w \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}_0 \simeq IH_N^*(\overline{BwB}/B) \oplus \bigoplus_{u|l(u) < l(w)} IH_N^*(\overline{BuB}/B) \langle ? \rangle^{\oplus ?}$$

By 2) $IH_N^*(\overline{BwB}/B) = V_K(\mathcal{L}_0(?) [?])$ is indecomposable as a graded $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\text{cow}}$ -module. So it must be $B_w \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}_0$ by what was explained in Sec 1.2 of Lec 2. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark: One can show $IH_B^*(\overline{BwB}/B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}_0 \simeq IH_N^*(\overline{BwB}/B)$ similarly to what happens w. the usual cohomology (cf. Remark in Sec 1.3 of Lec 4). This shows

$$2] \quad IH_B^*(\overline{BwB}/B) \simeq B_w.$$

2) Deformed category $\mathcal{O}$

2.1) Definition The base field is $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{k}$ be as before. Let A be a Noetherian $S(\mathfrak{h})$ -algebra, we write X for the corresponding map $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow A$ so that $X \in \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$.

The following generalizes the definition from Sec 1.1 of Lec 1.

Definition 1: Let $\mathcal{O}_A$ (or $\mathcal{O}_{A,X}$ if we need to indicate X explicitly) be the full subcategory in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A$ -mod consisting of all modules M s.t.:

- 1) M is fin. gen. / $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A$
- 2) $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$, where $M_{\lambda} = \{m \in M \mid xm = \langle \lambda, x \rangle m + X(x)m \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, this automatically a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes A$ -submodule.
- 3) $\mathfrak{k}$ acts locally nilpotently on M .

Definition 2: To $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we assign the Verma module $\Delta_A(\lambda) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A / \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A (x - \langle \lambda, x \rangle - X(x), y \mid x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{k})$. It has the following universal property:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_A}(\Delta_A(\lambda), M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_\lambda^k := \{m \in M_\lambda \mid ym = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathfrak{k}\} \quad (2)$$

The following corollary of (2) will be important

Lemma: $\forall M \in \mathcal{O}_A \setminus \{0\} \ \exists \lambda$ & non-zero $\Delta_A(\lambda) \rightarrow M$.

Proof:

Take nonzero $m' \in M_\lambda$ & minimal r s.t. $y_1 \dots y_r m' = 0 \ \forall y_1, \dots, y_r \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then we can find root vectors $y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ ($i=1, \dots, r-1$) s.t. $m = y_1 \dots y_{r-1} m' \neq 0$. Set $\lambda = \lambda' + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_i$. Then $0 \neq m \in M_\lambda^k \xrightarrow{\sim}$ non-zero $\Delta_A(\lambda) \rightarrow M$ by (2) $\square$

Remark. The choice of A we'll actually need is the completion $\mathbb{C}[[\mathfrak{h}^*]]$ of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] = S(\mathfrak{h})$ at 0 with a natural map $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow A$, as well as its localization. These are very important for proofs of Soergel's thms from Lec 1.

Important exercise: $\forall \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A$ -submodule $N \subset M \Rightarrow N = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N \cap M_\lambda$.

$\overline{4}$ Also $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, the functor $\mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$, $M \mapsto M_\lambda$, is exact.

2.2) Finiteness properties.

Lemma: $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A$ is (left & right) Noetherian.

Proof:

Exercise: If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{N}_0$ -filtered associative algebra s.t. the associated graded $\text{gr} \mathcal{A}$ is Noetherian, then $\mathcal{A}$ is Noetherian.

We apply this to $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A$ with PBW filtration (where A is in $\text{deg } 0$) so that $\text{gr} \mathcal{A} = S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes A$, Noetherian b/c it's a fin. gen. commutative A -algebra $\square$

Exercise: $\mathcal{O}_A$ is an abelian category.

Proposition: Let $M \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Then $\exists$ filtrations by submodules: $\{0\} = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_k = M$ & $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \Lambda \mid \Delta(\lambda_i) \twoheadrightarrow M_i / M_{i-1} \forall i$.

Proof:

Take M_1 to be the image of a homomorphism in Lemma from Sec 2.1, then apply Lemma to M/M_1 to construct

$\overline{5} \mid M_2/M_1$, etc. This terminates b/c M is Noetherian $\square$

Corollary: Let $M, M' \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Then:

- 1) M_λ is fin. gen'd over $A \ \forall \ \lambda \in \Lambda$
- 2) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_A}(M', M)$ is fin. gen'd over A .

Proof:

- 1) Proposition & Important exercise from Sec 2.1 reduce this to the case $M = \Delta(\lambda)$, which is an **exercise**.
- 2) Again we reduce to $M' = \Delta(\lambda)$, where $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_A}(M', M) = M_\lambda^\vee \subset M_\lambda$ by (2). We are done by part 1) $\square$

2.3) Infinitesimal block decomposition

For this section we assume A is local. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be the maximal ideal & $K := A/\mathfrak{m}$. Define an equivalence $\sim_X$ on Λ by: $\lambda_1 \sim_X \lambda_2$ if $\exists w \in W$ |
 $\lambda_1 + X - w \cdot (\lambda_2 + X) \in \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes A$ is in $\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{m}$

E.g. if $\text{im } X \subset \mathfrak{m}$, then the equivalence classes are the orbits of the $(W, \cdot)$ -action. In general, $\forall$ equiv. class is finite.

6] **Definition:** For an equivalence class Ξ we define

the infinitesimal block $\mathcal{O}_A^{\vec{\Sigma}}$ as the full subcategory of all $M \in \mathcal{O}_A$ that admit a filtration as in Prop'n from Sec 2.2 w. $\lambda_i \in \vec{\Sigma} \forall i=1, \dots, k$.

Theorem:
$$\mathcal{O}_A = \bigoplus_{\vec{\Sigma} \in \Lambda / \sim_x} \mathcal{O}_A^{\vec{\Sigma}}$$

Note that if $A = \mathbb{K}$, we recover the decomposition in Sec 1.2 of Lec 1.

Proof of Theorem:

Step 1: we show that if $\vec{\Sigma}_1 \neq \vec{\Sigma}_2$ & $M_i \in \mathcal{O}_A^{\vec{\Sigma}_i}$ ($i=1,2$), then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_A}(M_1, M_2) = 0$. Inequality $\vec{\Sigma}_1 \neq \vec{\Sigma}_2$ & HC isom'm $(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[y^*]^{(w, \cdot)}, z \mapsto HC_z) \Rightarrow$

$$\exists z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid HC_z(\lambda_1 + X) - HC_z(\lambda_2 + X) \notin \mathfrak{m}$$

Set $a := HC_z(\lambda_1 + X) \in A \Rightarrow z - a$ acts by 0 on $\Delta_A(\lambda_1)$

$\forall \lambda_1 \in \vec{\Sigma}_1$ and invertibly on $\Delta_A(\lambda_2)$ & its quotients $\forall \lambda_2 \in \vec{\Sigma}_2$ (here we use that A is local) $\Rightarrow z - a$ acts nilpotently on M_1 & invertibly on M_2 (b/c of filtrations

$\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ by quotients of Vermas). So $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_A}(M_1, M_2) = 0$.

Step 2: We claim that every SES

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

with M_1, M_2 as in Step 1 splits. Indeed, in the notation of Step 1 pick $n > 0$ s.t. $(z-a)^n$ acts by 0 on M_1 . Then $(z-a)^n M \subset M$ maps isomorphically onto M_2 splitting SES.

Step 3: Since every M admits a filtration by quotients of $\Delta(\lambda_i)$ (objects of suitable $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda^{\vec{\lambda}_i}$), the claim of Thm follows from Step 1, 2 (exercise). $\square$