

LECTURES ON SYMPLECTIC REFLECTION ALGEBRAS

IVAN LOSEV

9. COMMUTATIVITY AND CENTERS

9.1. Commutativity theorem: statement and scheme of proof. It is a natural question to ask when the algebra $eH_{t,c}e$ is commutative. This happens to have a very elegant answer that was already stated in Lecture 5 in the case when $\dim V = 2$.

Theorem 9.1 ([EG]). *The algebra $eH_{t,c}e$ is commutative if and only if $t = 0$.*

We will give a proof in the case when V is symplectically irreducible. The proof will be in three steps.

Step 1. We have the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{t,c}$ of degree -2 on $S(V)^\Gamma$ induced by the filtered deformation $eH_{t,c}e$. We will see that this bracket depends on t and c linearly. The reason why we are interested in considering the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{t,c}$ is that this bracket is zero when $eH_{t,c}e$ is commutative.

Step 2. So we have a linear map from P^* to the space of brackets of degree -2 on $S(V)^\Gamma$. We will see that such a bracket on $S(V)^\Gamma$ is unique up to proportionality provided V is symplectically irreducible. Thanks to this we will have a hyperplane $P_0 \subset P^*$ such that for $p = (t, c) \in P_0$ we have $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p = 0$.

A priori it may be that the algebra $eH_p e$ is not commutative although $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p = 0$. In this case, $eH_{t,c}e$ still gives rise to a non-zero bracket on $S(V)^\Gamma$ but the degree of that bracket will be $-d$ with $d > 2$. We will see however that all brackets on $S(V)^\Gamma$ of that degree are 0. So for $(t, c) \in P_0$ the algebra $eH_{t,c}e$ is indeed commutative.

Step 3. It remains to show that P_0 is given by $t = 0$. For this it is enough to produce a representation of $H_{t,c}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ as a Γ -module. Indeed, if N is such a representation, then we have $0 = \text{tr}_N[u, v] = \text{tr}_N(\omega(u, v)t + \sum_{s \in S} c_s \omega_s(u, v)s) = t \dim N \omega(u, v)$. In fact, we will show that for N one can take $H_{t,c}e/\mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{m} is a generic maximal ideal of $eH_{t,c}e$.

9.2. Step 1. We write p for $(t, c) \in P^*$, \mathcal{A} for eHe , and \mathcal{A}_p for $eH_{t,c}e$.

Lemma 9.2. (1) *We have $[a, b] \in P\mathcal{A}$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(2) *$[\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{A}^j] \subset P\mathcal{A}^{i+j-2}$,*

(3) *and $[\mathcal{A}_p^{\leq i}, \mathcal{A}_p^{\leq j}] \subset \mathcal{A}_p^{i+j-2}$.*

Proof. (1) follows from $\mathcal{A}/P\mathcal{A} \cong S(V)^\Gamma$ because $S(V)^\Gamma$ is commutative. (2) follows from (1) and the condition that the degree of P is 2. (3) follows from (2). \square

So we do have a bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p$ of degree -2 on $S(V)^\Gamma$. Moreover, it is obtained as follows: take homogeneous $a_0, b_0 \in S(V)^\Gamma$. Then let a, b be homogeneous elements in eHe lifting a_0, b_0 . Then $[a, b] \in P\mathcal{A}$. In particular, we can consider the projection $\{a_0, b_0\}$ of $[a, b]$ to $P \otimes S(V)^\Gamma = P\mathcal{A}/P^2\mathcal{A}$ (recall that $\mathcal{A} = S(P) \otimes S(V)^\Gamma$ as an $S(P)$ -module). Then $\{a, b\}_p$ is a specialization of $\{a, b\}$ at $p : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This implies the claim on linearity.

9.3. Step 2. We claim that every bracket on $S(V)^\Gamma$ uniquely lifts to a Γ -invariant bracket on $S(V)$. To prove this we need to explore a geometric nature of brackets.

Let X be an affine algebraic variety. Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is equipped with a bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Pick a smooth point $x \in X$. Then we can define a bivector $\mathcal{P}_x \in \bigwedge^2 T_x X$ by setting $\langle \mathcal{P}_x, df \wedge dg \rangle = \{f, g\}(x)$. It is easy to see that this is well-defined. A bit more subtle observation (that is consequence of the fact that the tangent and cotangent sheaves on a smooth variety are locally free) is that the bivectors \mathcal{P}_x glue together to form a section \mathcal{P} of $\bigwedge^2 TX^{reg}$, where X^{reg} denotes the smooth locus of X . Now assume that the variety X is normal so that, in particular, $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X^{reg}]$. Then a bivector $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma(X^{reg}, \bigwedge^2 TX^{reg})$ gives rise to a bracket on $\mathbb{C}[X^{reg}] = \mathbb{C}[X]$ – by $\{f, g\} = \langle \mathcal{P}, df \wedge dg \rangle$.

Another fact about brackets that we need is that a bracket can be pulled back by an étale morphism. Recall that a morphism $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ of smooth varieties is called étale at $y \in Y$ if $d_y \varphi$ is an isomorphism. We say that φ is étale if it is étale at any point. So we can identify $T_y Y \cong T_{\varphi(y)} X$ and therefore also $\bigwedge^2 T_y Y$ with $\bigwedge^2 T_{\varphi(y)} X$. A more subtle claim again, is that one can pull-back $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma(X, \bigwedge^2 TX)$ to get a well-defined element $\varphi^*(\mathcal{P}) \in \Gamma(Y, \bigwedge^2 TY)$ (this follows from $TY = \varphi^*(TX)$).

Finally, we need to characterize $(V/\Gamma)^{reg}$ and find the locus, where the quotient morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow V/\Gamma$ is étale. This is explained in the following lemma, where we assume that Γ is just some finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Recall the notation $V^0 = \{v \in V | \Gamma_v = 0\}$.

Lemma 9.3. *We have $V^0/\Gamma \subset (V/\Gamma)^{reg}$. The morphism π is étale at all points of V^0 .*

We are not going to prove the lemma. It is clear if we work in the complex analytic, not algebraic category. It also fixes a gap in the proof of a technical lemma of Step 2 in the proof of the double centralizer property. Finally, let us remark that if Γ contains no *complex* reflections (this is always the case for $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V)$), then the inclusions in the lemma are actually equalities.

Now we are ready to prove Step 2. Let $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ be a bracket on $S(V)^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ and let \mathcal{P} be a corresponding bivector on $V^0/\Gamma \subset (V/\Gamma)^{reg}$. Then we get a bivector $\pi^*(\mathcal{P})$ on V^0 and hence a bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ on $\mathbb{C}[V^0] = \mathbb{C}[V]$. The bivector and hence the bracket are Γ -equivariant by construction. Also by construction, the restriction of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ to $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ coincides with $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ is a unique Γ -equivariant (the latter is not necessary) bracket with these properties. From here it follows that the degree of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ is the same as that of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ (if $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ has components of other degrees, then they restrict to 0 on $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$).

So now the question is: describe Γ -equivariant brackets of degree ≤ -2 on $\mathbb{C}[V]$. If the degree of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ is less than -2 , then this bracket vanishes on V^* , the degree 1 component of $\mathbb{C}[V]$. So $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$ is identically 0. Similarly, the bracket of degree -2 just comes from a skew-symmetric form on $V^* \cong V$. This form is Γ -invariant. If V is symplectically irreducible, then there is a unique such form up to proportionality.

Let us remark that for some p we do have $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p \neq 0$. Indeed, consider the case when $c = 0, t = 1$. Then $H_{t,c} = T(V) \# \Gamma / (u \otimes v - v \otimes u - \omega(u, v)) = W(V) \# \Gamma$ so that $eH_{t,c}e \cong W(V)^\Gamma$. As we have seen, the bracket on $S(V)$ induced by $W(V)$ coincides with the standard bracket. It follows that the bracket on $S(V)^\Gamma$ induced by $W(V)^\Gamma$ also coincides with the standard bracket on hence is nonzero.

So we have a hyperplane $P_0 \subset P^*$ such that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p = 0$ for all $p \in P_0$ and hence \mathcal{A}_p is commutative.

Exercise 9.1. *Show that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{t,c} = t\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the standard bracket on $S(V)^\Gamma$.*

Exercise 9.2. *Prove the commutativity theorem in the case when V is not necessarily symplectically irreducible.*

9.4. Step 3. According to the next exercise \mathcal{A}_p is always finitely generated.

Exercise 9.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtered algebra. If $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$ is finitely generated, then so is \mathcal{A} .*

So for $p \in P_0$ one can consider $C_p := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_p)$ (a problem below implies that \mathcal{A}_p has no zero divisors so that C_p is an irreducible variety). Recall that we have commuting actions of H_p and \mathcal{A}_p on $H_p e$. As we have seen, it is enough to prove the following claim. Let $p \in P_0$, then for a general point $x \in C_p$ the H_p -module $H_p e / \mathfrak{m}_x$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ as a Γ -module. This amounts to checking that for any Γ -irreducible L the dimension of the fiber of $M_p^L := \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(L, H_p e)$ at a general point $x \in C_p$ equals $\dim L$ (here the action of \mathcal{A}_p on $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(L, H_p e)$ is induced from the action of \mathcal{A}_p on $H_p e$ from the right). We remark that this holds for $p = 0$: for $v \in V_0$ we have $\mathbb{C}[V]_{\pi(v)} = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma v] \cong \mathbb{C}\Gamma$.

Pick a nonzero parameter $p \in P_0$. To prove our claim we will need to include C_p and V/Γ into a single variety. For this let R be the one-dimensional subspace of P^* spanned by p . Consider the algebras $H_R := \mathbb{C}[R] \otimes_{S(P)} H$, $\mathcal{A}_R := eH_R e$. Then \mathcal{A}_R is a deformation of $S(V)^{\Gamma}$ over $\mathbb{C}[R]$ and hence \mathcal{A}_R is finitely generated. Set $C_R := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_R)$. This is an algebraic variety equipped with a \mathbb{C}^{\times} -action coming from the grading on \mathcal{A}_R and also a \mathbb{C}^{\times} -equivariant morphism $C_R \rightarrow R$, whose zero fiber is V/Γ , while a nonzero fiber is naturally identified with C_p (the fiber over p is literally C_p , and all other fibers are translated to C_p using the \mathbb{C}^{\times} -action). The \mathcal{A}_R -module $H_R e$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[R]$. Consider the module $M_R^L := \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(L, H_R e)$. Let \mathfrak{p} denote the ideal of \mathcal{A}_R generated by R^* . Since $\mathcal{A}_R / \mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{C}[V]^{\Gamma}$, the ideal \mathfrak{p} is prime. So we can consider the localization $\mathcal{A}_{R, \mathfrak{p}}$, a local ring of dimension 1 with a local parameter r , a basis element in R^* , and residue field $\mathbb{C}(V/\Gamma)$. We know that the localization $M_{R, \mathfrak{p}}^L$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[r]$ and the fiber at $r = 0$ has dimension $\dim L$ over $\mathbb{C}(V/\Gamma)$. What we need to prove is that the dimension of the localization $\mathbb{C}(C_R) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_R} M_R^L$ equals $\dim L$ (this implies existence of a required point x on a general fiber of $C_R \rightarrow R$ and, therefore, thanks to \mathbb{C}^{\times} -equivariance, on an arbitrary nonzero fiber). Thanks to flatness, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}(C_R)} \mathbb{C}(C_R) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_R} M_R^L = \dim_{\mathbb{C}(V/\Gamma)} M_{R, \mathfrak{p}}^L / (r)$. The latter coincides with $\dim L$.

This completes the proof of the commutativity theorem.

9.5. Satake isomorphism.

Theorem 9.4 ([EG]). *Let Z_c be the center of $H_{0,c}$. The map $z \mapsto ez$ is an isomorphism of Z_c and $eH_{0,c}e$.*

Proof. We are going to find an inverse homomorphism. We write p for $(0, c)$. Recall that the action of H_p on $H_p e$ gives rise to an isomorphism $H_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(H_p e)$. Since the algebra \mathcal{A}_p is commutative the map $m \mapsto mb$ is an endomorphism of the \mathcal{A}_p -module $H_p e$ for any $b \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Such an endomorphism commutes with any other. Let \hat{b} denote a unique element of $H_p = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(H_p e)$ such that $\hat{b}m = mb$. Clearly, $b \mapsto \hat{b}$ is an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow Z_p$. The claim that this homomorphism is inverse to $z \mapsto ez$ means $\hat{e}z = z$, $e\hat{b} = b$. We have $\hat{e}zm = mez = mz = zm$ and so $\hat{e}z = z$. On the other hand, $me\hat{b} = m\hat{b} = \hat{b}m = mb$. Plugging $m = e$, we get $e\hat{b} = eb = b$. \square

Problem 9.4. *Let $p \in P_0$. Equip Z_p with a filtration restricted from H_p . Show that $\text{gr } Z_p = S(V)^{\Gamma}$. Deduce that H_p is a finitely generated module over Z_p .*

Problem 9.5. *Now let $p \notin P_0$. Show that the center of H_p coincides with \mathbb{C} as follows:*

- (1) Let z lie in the center of H_p . Show that $\text{gr } z \in \text{gr } H_p = S(V) \# \Gamma$ actually lies in $S(V)^\Gamma$.
- (2) Show that $\text{gr } z$ lies in the Poisson center of $S(V)^\Gamma$, meaning that $\{\text{gr } z, S(V)^\Gamma\} = 0$.
- (3) Show that the Poisson center of $S(V)^\Gamma$ coincides with \mathbb{C} .

Problem 9.6. In this problem we are going to equip Z_c with a structure of a Poisson algebra. Fix c and consider $H_{t,c}$ as an algebra over $\mathbb{C}[t]$ by making t an independent variable.

- (1) Let $a, b \in Z_c$. Lift $a, b \in H_c = H_{t,c}/(t)$ to elements $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in H_{t,c}$. Show that $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \in tH_{t,c}$ and that the element $\frac{1}{t}[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ modulo t depends only on a, b and lies in Z_c . Let $\{a, b\}$ be that element. Show that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket.
- (2) Show that $\{Z_c^{\leq i}, Z_c^{\leq j}\} \subset Z_c^{i+j-2}$. Show that the induced bracket on $\text{gr } Z_c = S(V)^\Gamma$ is a nonzero multiple of the standard bracket. Can you identify the scalar factor?

Problem 9.7. Show that the scheme C_p is irreducible and normal (and, well, Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein, if you know what these words mean).

9.6. Further algebraic properties. Perhaps, the first question about the structure of an irreducible normal (and also Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein) algebraic variety you can ask is whether it is smooth.

First of all, one can describe the smooth points $x \in C_p$ in terms of the representation theory of the algebra $H_p/H_p\mathfrak{m}_x$.

Theorem 9.5 ([EG]). *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $x \in C_p^{\text{reg}}$.
- (2) $H_p/H_p\mathfrak{m}_x \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{C}\Gamma)$ (a Γ -equivariant algebra isomorphism).
- (3) Any simple $H_p/H_p\mathfrak{m}_x$ -module is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ as a Γ -module.

Problem 9.8. Show that if C_p is smooth, then $H_p e$ is a locally free H_p -module.

The proof is based on properties of PI (polynomial identity) rings and we are not going to provide it.

Let us explain what is known about smoothness of the varieties C_p . First, of all existence of p such that C_p is smooth is a very restrictive assumption on Γ .

If $\Gamma = \Gamma_n$ is a wreath-product $\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes \Gamma_1^n$, where $\Gamma_1 \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then C_p is smooth if and only if p lies outside the union of explicitly described hyperplanes. This follows from the interpretation of C_p as affine quiver varieties to be covered later in this course.

In the class of complex reflection groups, the answer is also known. Besides the groups $G(\ell, 1, n)$ that belong also to the previous list, there is only one complex reflection group such that there is a smooth C_p . It is the group G_4 that appeared in one of the problems of Lecture 6. This was proved by Bellamy in [B].

The situation with the groups that do not belong to one of these families is unknown. Recently, Bellamy and Schedler, [BS], found an example of such a group that does admit smooth C_p .

This question has to do with sphericity of parameters discussed last time. Namely, C_p is smooth iff p is spherical (meaning that $H_p e H_p = H_p$). Indeed, the smoothness of $C_p = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_p)$ is equivalent to \mathcal{A}_p having finite global dimension. The following problem implies that H_p has finite global dimension (for an arbitrary $p \in P^*$).

Problem 9.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered algebra. Show that if $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$ has finite global dimension, then \mathcal{A} does.

As we have discussed earlier, $S(V)\#\Gamma$ has finite global dimension (equal $\dim V$) and so the global dimension of H_p is finite. Now the global dimension is an invariant of the category of modules, and $H_p e H_p = H_p$ means that the categories of modules for H_p and $e H_p e$ are equivalent. So if p is spherical, then C_p is smooth.

Problem 9.10. *Conversely, prove that if C_p is smooth, then p is spherical (we deal here with $p \in P_0$).*

In fact, $p \in P^*$ is spherical iff the global dimension of $e H_p e$ is finite even if the latter is not commutative. This is a result of Bezrukavnikov, [E2].

REFERENCES

- [B] G. Bellamy. *On singular Calogero-Moser spaces*. arXiv:0707.3694.
- [BS] G. Bellamy, T. Schedler. *A new symplectic quotient of \mathbb{C}^4 admitting a symplectic resolution*. arXiv:1109.3015.
- [E1] P. Etingof. *Lectures on Calogero-Moser systems*. arXiv:math/0606233.
- [E2] P. Etingof, *Symplectic reflection algebras and affine Lie algebras*, arXiv:1011.4584.
- [EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 243–348. arXiv:math/0011114.